

ED477914 2003-05-00 Enrollment Trends in Community Colleges. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Enrollment Trends in Community Colleges. ERIC Digest..... | 1 |
| DUAL-CREDIT AND DUAL-ENROLLMENT STUDENTS..... | 2 |
| REVERSE TRANSFER STUDENTS..... | 3 |
| NON-COMPLETERS..... | 3 |
| COMPLETERS..... | 3 |
| JOB RETRAINING STUDENTS..... | 4 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 4 |
| REFERENCES..... | 5 |



ERIC Identifier: ED477914

Publication Date: 2003-05-00

Author: Andrews, Hans A.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges Los Angeles CA.

Enrollment Trends in Community Colleges. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

Community colleges are rapidly adapting their policies, procedures and services to accommodate new and growing groups of students. New community college student

enrollment groups include (1) dual-credit and dual-enrollment students taking college courses during their last two years of high school; (2) reverse transfer students, both those who have attended a senior college without completing a degree, and those who have completed one or more degrees; and (3) students needing or wishing to obtain job retraining. Serving these students offers community colleges a way to meaningfully address some major educational and employment concerns that have arisen today.

This digest presents key information and current research on these three expanding categories of students enrolling in American community colleges at the beginning of the 21st century.

DUAL-CREDIT AND DUAL-ENROLLMENT STUDENTS

Recently community colleges have begun to expand programs in which high school students, mostly juniors and seniors, take college level courses. The senior year of high school has been documented in a number of studies as a "waste of time" for many students (Kirst, 2001). The dual-credit movement is offering secondary schools a viable option to motivate and challenge their students in their last years of high school. Andrews (2001) described these student enrollees as follows:

Dual-Credit Students: Secondary school students enrolled in courses that receive both college credit and credit toward meeting secondary school requirements for graduation.

Dual (Concurrent)-Enrolled Students: Secondary school students enrolled in college courses while continuing to be enrolled as high school students. The college courses are only used for college credit.

Community colleges assess these students for proper course placement prior to enrollment. Students often need to obtain support from parents, secondary school counselors and administrators, and full-time high school and college faculty prior to enrollment. Courses are offered on the community college campus or at the high school. Full-time college faculty, adjunct (part-time) faculty and selected high school faculty teach the courses using college syllabi and textbooks.

Bryant (2000) reported high school dual-enrollment increasing nationally from 96,913 in 1993 to 123,039 in 1995. A study by Oregon's Joint Boards of Education in 1997 found 31 states involved in dual-enrollment programs (Oregon University System, 1999). Andrews' (2001) research found this number had grown to 48 states by 2001. Andrews and Barnett (2002) reported a 406% growth in dual-credit and dual-enrollment students between 1996-97 and 2000-01 in Illinois. Dual-enrollments may be approaching 500,000 in 2003 with very high program growth reported in Missouri, Oklahoma, Virginia, Washington, Florida and several other states.

State programs have a variety of titles: Postsecondary Enrollment Options Act in Michigan and Ohio, Kansas Challenge to Secondary School Pupils Act in Kansas, and Fifth-Year High School Program for Advanced Students in Colorado. These programs exist in some of the states that have legislation that defines the rules for both secondary schools and colleges for enrolling dual-credit students. Missouri is an example of a state that responded to growth in dual-enrollment by developing statewide guidelines for dual-credit students. This was needed to ensure transferability of dual-credits earned at the community college to state universities and 4-year colleges.

REVERSE TRANSFER STUDENTS

Undergraduate "reverse transfer" students attend community colleges for two major reasons. One group is "non-completers" of baccalaureate degrees and the other is "completers" of one or more university degrees. Degree completers are much more likely to be seeking career skills for new jobs or trying to improve their skills in a current job than are non-completers.

NON-COMPLETERS

A study by Winter, et al., (2001) found that non-completers' goals were to complete an associate degree, to improve their basic skills, to take courses to transfer back to a university and to try to improve their grade point averages. The non-completers averaged 29 years of age. Many of these students were not serious, or socially or academically ready their first time in college. Some left for family-related reasons. Academic failure, loss of funding, and a lack of continued family support previously led to the dismissal or withdrawal of many of these students from their original university.

COMPLETERS

Completers usually enrolled to obtain career skills for new jobs or to improve their skills in a current job. They attended colleges close to home and were older with an average age of 37 (Winter, et al., 2001). Further describing degree completers, Reusch (2000) in a study of 221 students from six community colleges in Illinois found that degree completers were typically male, white, and married and averaged 38 years of age. They had waited an average of 11 years from baccalaureate degree completion before enrolling at the community colleges, and enrolled for employment-related reasons in such fields as computer-related or health service-related programs. Female students tended to enroll more frequently in health service programs.

Concerns have been raised about whether colleges should accept degree completer reverse transfer students. Budgets, and therefore access, are tightening up as state and federal governments are running heavy deficits and are cutting college state support dollars. Colleges may be forced to decide if allowing degree completers access jeopardizes access to students who have not yet had the opportunity to enroll in college programs.

JOB RETRAINING STUDENTS

Community colleges became highly involved with workforce retraining during the mid- to late-1990s. New federal legislation and federal and state financial support helped stimulate this growth beyond what had been attempted in previous federal and state supported programs. One example of legislation that has affected community college enrollment growth is Welfare to Work programs, which can include short-term job training and retraining as a way to move welfare recipients off of welfare and to work. Job retraining has expanded in recent years as more American companies have moved manufacturing jobs to Mexico, China and other foreign countries. Students often find themselves training for positions that are significantly lower in pay and benefits than ones they left. Those laid off from lower-paying jobs often find they are able to command semi-professional and professional pay rates after completing high demand career retraining programs. Accelerated growth in job retraining is the result of companies sending their employees back to the classroom to learn the latest in technological developments. The American Society for Training and Development (ASTD) reported that in 1998 this type of retraining amounted to \$63 billion dollars annually (Workforce Economics, 2001).

The California Community Colleges Board of Governors (1995) examined changes that were taking place in the country because of population shifts, growth, and workforce needs. Projected trends were: (1) the labor force would incorporate more women, minorities, and older workers; (2) continuing developments in technology requiring on-going education; and that (3) the community college role in retraining would continue to be substantial.

The state of Washington's Worker Retraining report (2000) presents an excellent example of the impact of workforce retraining. Over 44,000 unemployed and displaced workers since 1993 were served at 34 state community colleges. The state legislature allocated over \$27 million for classes and assistance to the workers during training at the community colleges. Over 22,000 program completers were back in the workforce with a job retention rate of 88% one year after program completion. Success was demonstrated by the fact that 475 of the state's largest employers hired workers from these programs.

CONCLUSION

This digest identified three major enrollment trends presently affecting community colleges. The three types of students, dual-credit, reverse transfer, and job retraining are likely to continue to grow in the near future. Dual-credit is exploding across the nation. Initial national research by Andrews (2001) shows these programs to be very successful in providing a much-needed academic stimulus for junior and senior secondary school students. Reverse transfer provides for retraining for those students

previously enrolled in or graduated from universities. Many enroll to develop new skills; others enroll to keep themselves up-to-date in their jobs. Job retraining should also continue to be a necessity with shifts in the American economy, shifting of jobs to the international market, and the need for businesses to keep up-to-date with technological changes in the workplace.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, H. A. (2001). The dual-credit phenomenon: Challenging secondary school students across 50 states. Stillwater, OK. New Forums Press. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 463 823).
- Andrews, H. A., Barnett, E. (2002). Dual credit/enrollment in Illinois: a status report. OCCRL In Brief, Champaign, IL.: University of Illinois. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 469 782).
- Bryant A. (2000). Community college students: recent findings and trends. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 457 898).
- Kirst, M. W. (2001). Overcoming the high school senior slump: New education policies. Washington, DC: The Institute for Educational Leadership. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 455 720).
- National Alliance of Business, Inc. (2001). Corporate training delivery: Dollars and Sense. Unconventional wisdom. *Workforce Economics*, 7(1), 7-11. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 454 417).
- Oregon University System. (1999) Oregon early options study. Eugene, OR: Office of Academic Affairs.
- Reusch, D. L. (2000). The nature and characteristics of post-baccalaureate reverse transfer students and their utilization of career guidance. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 458 930).
- Trends of importance to California Community Colleges. (1995). Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges. Sacramento, CA. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 394 604).
- Winter, P. A., Harris, M. R. and Ziegler, C. R. (2001). Community college reverse transfer students: A Multivariate Analysis. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 25(4), 271-82. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. EJ 626 827).
- Worker retraining: sixth accountability report for the Worker Retraining Program. (2000). Olympia: Washington State Board of Community and Technical Colleges. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 465 407).

This project has been funded at least in part with Federal funds from the U.S. Department of Education under contract number ED-99-CO-0010. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Education nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. ERIC Digests are in the public domain and may be freely reproduced.

University of California, Los Angeles, 3051 Moore Hall, P.O. Box 951521, Los Angeles, CA 90095

Title: Enrollment Trends in Community Colleges. ERIC Digest.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges. University of California at Los Angeles, 3051 Moore Hall, P.O. Box 951521, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1524. Tel: 310-825-3931. For full text: <http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/ERIC/eric.html>.

Descriptors: College Bound Students, College Credits, Community Colleges, Cooperative Programs, Degree Requirements, Dual Enrollment, Enrollment Trends, High School Students, High Schools, Institutional Cooperation, Job Training, Reverse Transfer Students, Two Year Colleges

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)