5/8/74
N/
CTR

Hetsko, Kloepfer, Gahn, Gardner, Kunega, Raemer, Hardy, Hale, Judge, Hughes

[redacted]

Verbal report by WWB on July 9, 1974 meeting.

Jack Raemer — 1. Monitoring of Funds?
Don't want to control research for legal reasons.
But should make sure money is spent as it was intended
— Perhaps should be audited by outside firms.
+ also peer review —

Cliff Goldsmith —

~~It~~ It is just as important if not more important to make every effort to have good science.

WB

Would proceed this way!
WB

What should be done!
Priorities in terms of reasonable, + desired results. From these a research program can be outlined.
Then consider the best way to get it done.

LIG- 65074

LG 2023830

8/8/74

(2)

@ CTR 1 ← legal
SP. PROJECTS 2 ← self serving —

other Research.

UCLA, Wash. U., Harvard — etc —
Political, P.R. are whatever.

Gardner

CTR regular grants + contracts daily O.K.
SP. Projects — none O.K. not put in annual report
SP. Institutional Grants — Not much in/o
Not much contact —
If CTR took over administration would
need written reports + all other normal

One umbrella view
Keep CTR separate view
What way can these be compromised
and still have efficiency + scientific
and monetary integrity.

LIG- 65075

LG 2023831