①

Re: 1.10.83 scheduled meeting to discuss fees.

Tom/Decker tel conf; 1.3.83

Categories

1) TI budget

2) CTR : {Lgt not in this; all of the other 5 are in this:

3) LS, Inc : budget to be sent by Decker
a) Case Support Div Ben Capita 1/6 ($675
112K± for Lgt
b) LRD market share (4.5 '84
(2.87 '83)

3.2 million; ∴ Lgt share at 4.5% = $144K±.

∴, Lgt share in aggregate = $256K±.

History in new retainer for above assignment.

"Central Files" was the pre-quisite of "Tobacco Files" which now is Case Support Division. ∴, 1/6 is better than 100% if going it alone.

As to literature

4) Account No. 4. : Now then Jacobs firm, but in fact, then S, P.
Lgt put on $80K cap on this in '83
→ Decker: a) should budget this
b) should have some controls
c) should define what is wanted.

{1/6 subject to $80K cap.}

LG 2002613

Decker would: (i) emphasize lung cancer
(ii) de-emphasize other areas.
ASHRAE, etc.

→ hgt pays its share in collecting/assembling its own witnesses — in kills — to W&S

Q: how much paid into "Account no. 4." in '83? eg'

5) "CTR Special Projects": things that hgt has never been involved in. These are "scientific" or "pseudo-scientific" projects that CTR has been unwilling to perform.

→ Decker questions need for any of TI.

6) S, H does a certain amount of work for TI. Decker does not know how they are paid; eg: S, H may be killing TI directly.

7) C & B represents TI — & presumably kills for such.

8) S, H also does a certain amount of "industry-wide co-admission." Position of W&S: hgt will participate directly thru efforts of W&S.

LG 2002614

9) Account No. 5: What is this?
→ { Decker does not know –
Tom does not know

10). American not a "member" of TI – but American does participate.
? What does American pay?

American attends all comm/council meetings
" does not attend Exper/com. meetings

— • —

TIRC: "Tobacco Industry Research Council
→ 1953 Mouse Bioassay Experiments by Wynder & Graham. 5 companies went w/ this as a response; Lgt went alone w/ A.D. Little

1964 → 1966: Lgt a member of CTR

Decker: { thinks Lgt should spend its money w/ Arthur D. Little & not CTR

LG 2002615

① Shook, Hardy
(i) For litigation: — { PM (primary), Lovell and B&W

In Missouri, all American

(ii) Congressional — also RJR on occasion, as well as PM, Lor + B&W

② Jacobs, Madinger
A: (i) Regulatory in litigation — RJR only

(ii) { Legislation — RJR + B&W
Decker understands, from time to time, in legislative efforts ....

③ Shook, Hardy — ? does S.H render services to T.I. Vf so — T.I. should retain S.H & pay/retained.

If incident to litigation — C & B represents T.I.

Non-litigation — Lyt has participated through W & S.

Decker (212) 957-9800

LG 2002616