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Foreword

As we enter a new millennium, we are poised on the brink of exciting and unprecedented opportunities for women in science. The increasing emphasis on women’s health issues and growing recognition of the contributions of women in all scientific disciplines pave the way for us to make significant strides toward equity for women scientists. This report documents a huge step in the right direction — the December 9–10, 1999 workshop entitled “AXXS ’99” (Achieving XXcellence in Science).

AXXS ’99 spotlighted the role of professional societies in helping to advance the careers of women in science. The 1 1/2-day event focused on five areas of concern, generated a practical vision of the future, identified barriers to success, and produced 14 recommended initiatives for achieving excellence in science by advancing the careers of women scientists. These initiatives form the foundation for activities within and across scientific societies to promote women in leadership roles, provide mentoring programs, replicate best practices, and share relevant resources and information.

Participants in AXXS ’99 came together from diverse societies, educational institutions, organizations, and businesses to demonstrate their commitment, voice their opinions, and learn from each other. Their ultimate goal: to help women succeed in scientific careers and thereby bring about scientific advances from which all persons could equally benefit, regardless of cultural and ethnic origins, geographic locations, and socioeconomic strata.

The Office of Research on Women’s Health (ORWH) is proud to have co-sponsored this successful meeting as part of its overall efforts to increase the numbers of women in science. We are hopeful that the recommendations put forth at AXXS ’99 will inspire further action by societies, corporations, and academia — wherever scientists work or are represented. It is only with your enthusiasm and participation in the next steps, and the steps to come, that we can turn these initiatives into reality.

Vivian W. Pinn, M.D.
Director, Office of Research on Women’s Health
Associate Director, Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
Preface

“Why do synthetic organic chemists eat their young?” asked a participant at the summer 1998 retreat hosted by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences on that topic. In response, all 25 attending scientists began to hypothesize why fewer synthetic organic chemistry proposals were being submitted and funded in recent years. Late in the final session, their discussion turned to the even smaller number of women and minority academicians in this field. One participant observed that “some scientific societies do a really superb job of mentoring and advancing their young faculty, through mock study section meetings, sessions on how to write a grant, discussions of how to get tenure, and mentoring lunches organized around career issues. Other societies are only beginning to address the problem for the young scientists in their disciplines.”

Around the same time, in August 1998, Madeleine Jacobs, Editor-in-Chief of Chemical and Engineering News, spoke to the Women Chemists Committee Luncheon.1 She detailed nine suggestions for how women scientists could promote the careers of the women who were their juniors. As she challenged attendees to come up with their own magic bullets and fire them into action, an interesting idea crossed my mind: “Why not address and enhance the scientific contributions and career progression of women through their scientific societies?”

Encouraged by colleagues and friends, I proposed to the Office of Research on Women’s Health (ORWH), in January 1999, that we plan an action-oriented meeting, full of working sessions, not speeches, to figure out how to carry forward this new idea. We formed a small planning committee,2 wrote a statement of purpose, and interviewed and selected facilitators. The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences agreed to review and manage a cooperative agreement to support the workshop, which would be funded

2 The “dream team,” which planned and executed AXXS ’99, consisted of Donna Dean, Senior Advisor to the Deputy Director, NIH; Elizabeth Marincola, Executive Director, The American Society for Cell Biology; Pamela Marino, Ph.D., Program Director, National Institute of General Medical Sciences; Joyce Rudick, Director, Programs and Management, Office of Research on Women’s Health, and Anne Sassaman, Director, Division of Extramural Research and Training, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Dina Dariotis, Presidential Management Intern, supported the planning phase and developed the annotated bibliography, which will be posted on the website growing out of the workshop. Thanks to each of you for making this such a uniquely productive experience.
by ORWH. The American Society for Cell Biology volunteered to host the gathering as a satellite session to their annual December meeting.

About 20 scientists from across the country, from all walks of science, including academia, industry, and government, met in July 1999 to develop a broad outline for the workshop. Their energy and the facilitators’ skills and dedication created one of the most productive sessions I had ever participated in, for it led us to an overarching conclusion: A trained scientist represents an investment that should not be wasted. Anyone who wants to be a scientist should be limited only by her or his own skills and intelligence, not by the environment in which she or he works. In such a world, all would contribute according to their abilities — women and men alike, including persons with disabilities, regardless of their race or ethnicity. Thus was AXXS ’99 shaped.

As this report details, more than 100 participants assembled for a dynamic day and a half of hard work. Twenty institutes and centers at NIH endorsed the workshop’s goals. Dr. Ruth Kirschstein, now Acting Director of NIH, inspired us with her leadership. Dr. Vivian Pinn, ORWH Director, encouraged us with her words, claiming, “We can do that! Our office will support you.” Workshop participants developed concrete action plans to

- enhance and acknowledge women’s scientific contributions,
- keep women from leaving science for friendlier careers,
- support women’s scientific career advancement, and
- help the next generation of women scientists readily make their contributions to the scientific community.

These same participants eagerly agreed to take the next steps to ensure that the action plans developed during AXXS ’99 are transformed into a grand plan, and real action, paving the way for AXXS 2000. It’s action long overdue!

W. Sue Shafer, Ph.D.
Assistant Vice Chancellor
Research Administration
University of California, San Francisco
(Formerly Deputy Director
National Institute of General Medical Sciences)

3 Many thanks to Michael Milano and Rae Thompson for their help in planning and pulling off AXXS ’99. They contributed materially to the growth of the concept and led the team of 14 additional facilitators who helped the participants develop concrete action plans. They kept us right on target.
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Executive Summary

The Office of Research in Women’s Health, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, in conjunction with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and The American Society for Cell Biology, convened “AXXS ’99” to explore the roles of scientific societies in advancing science by building the careers of all women in science, from the predoctoral stage to the senior scientist level. The workshop was held December 9–10, 1999, as a satellite meeting to The American Society for Cell Biology Annual Meeting at the Washington Convention Center in Washington, D.C. More than 140 participants representing more than 50 scientific societies, organizations, and government agencies gathered to

- develop action items that societies can consider for their membership
- contribute to an annotated bibliography of the career resources that can be made available as a national resource on the Internet
- exchange information with other workshop participants on the strengths and weaknesses of existing and planned societal programs and resources for their female membership.

Action-planning tracks for the 1 1/2-day workshop focused on the roles of societies related to one of the following issues:

- Mentoring and networking to promote the contributions of women scientists
- Career development for women scientists at the mid and senior levels
- Representation of women in scientific societies
- Sharing model systems that work
- Outreach and collaboration within and between societies and other organizations to advance science by promoting women in science

Working groups developed goals for each issue, identified the major obstacles to achieving those goals, and designed action plans to reach defined goals. The action plans were presented for discussion in a plenary
session. The recommendations of the working groups include 14 action plans for addressing major obstacles to the careers of women in science. Major recommendations are to

- Establish a national report card on the status of women in science and engineering and develop a database of women scientists
- Create an umbrella organization of professional societies to facilitate the exchange of information on women’s career issues and activities
- Establish a national mentorship system for women, through the societies, and encourage societies to develop effective mentoring programs and make mentoring a core society activity
- Formalize mechanisms for opportunities, awareness, and development for women in science
- Design best practices for the advancement of women in science and establish a best practices clearinghouse
- Encourage societies to increase the number of women in their organizations’ leadership roles by establishing (a) leadership development programs for women, (b) forums to highlight the successes of their women membership, and (c) a networking website for the scientists whom they serve.

Workshop leaders and participants expressed a high degree of enthusiasm for the results-oriented event. A follow-on meeting in June 2000 is planned to capitalize on the momentum generated at AXXS ’99 for advancing women’s careers in science.

*It was one of the best workshops I have attended! I am looking forward to seeing the action items generated play out as advances for women in science.*

Julia B. Freeman  
National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases  
National Institutes of Health
Congratulations on an excellent program and a really productive
day and a half.

Jennifer P. Stevens
American Academy of Pediatrics

Congratulations. I was relieved to finally participate in a meeting that was
so action oriented. Hopefully this is just the beginning.

Gina Pastino, Ph.D.
McLaren-Hurt, Inc./Chem Risk

I think this was a very worthwhile endeavor. It is rare that one walks away
from a full day plus evening event with some concrete ideas that can be
implemented quickly, and make a difference, as well as a sense of
excitement about future plans. Bravo.

Joan R. Goldberg
American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

I thought the meeting was terrific. I arranged for a junior colleague to go
to the AAMC mentoring meeting for new professionals this spring, and
I’m spreading the word about ELAM — the development workshop for
mid-career women. I learned about both at AXXS ’99. I hope to
incorporate more of the ideas from the meeting at the NSF.

Janice M. Hicks
National Science Foundation

It was exciting to participate in what may be another milestone event in
the history of women in science. I learned a great deal and enjoyed the
opportunity to interact with women from other disciplines.

Rosalyn C. Richman, M.A.
ELAM
National Center of Leadership in
Academic Medicine
Introduction

The Office of Research in Women’s Health, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, in conjunction with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and The American Society for Cell Biology, convened “AXXS ’99” on December 9–10, 1999, as a satellite meeting to The American Society for Cell Biology Annual Meeting at the Washington Convention Center in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the workshop was to explore the roles of scientific societies in advancing science by building the careers of all women in science, from the predoctoral stage to the senior scientist level. Some 140 participants representing more than 50 scientific societies, organizations, and government agencies gathered to develop action items that societies can consider for their membership, contribute to an annotated bibliography of the career resources that can be made available as a national resource on the Internet, exchange information with other workshop participants on the strengths and weaknesses of existing and planned societal programs and resources for their female membership.

There were five action-planning tracks for the 1 1/2-day workshop. Each track focused on the roles of societies related to one of five issues, as described on the following pages.

Issue 1 Mentoring and networking to promote the contributions of women scientists

- What methods can scientific societies employ to provide mentoring for women scientists throughout the stages of their careers?
- In what specific ways can scientific societies use existing programs and mechanisms to increase opportunities for mentoring women in science?
- How can societies and individuals use networking to help women transition from early to mid-level science careers?
Issue 2  Career development for women scientists at the mid and senior levels

- How can scientific societies capitalize on their experienced, high-profile women leaders, while enhancing the participation of increasing numbers of women in visible leadership and decision-making positions (e.g., on editorial boards, on study sections, and as committee chairs and speakers)?
- What can scientific societies do to help senior women scientists seek and accept recognition, without making them “uncomfortable” or appear overly “self promoting”?
- In what concrete ways can scientific societies track and exchange information about women in critical positions (e.g., through editorial boards, review committees, invited chairs and lectures, awards, career progression)?

Issue 3  Representation of women in scientific societies

- What can scientific societies do to recruit, retain, and advance women scientists across diverse scientific organizations and industries?
- What practical actions can scientific societies take to optimize the advances, fill in the gaps, and take advantage of opportunities in meeting the career needs of women scientists?
- How can scientific societies make sure that their programs accurately reflect the demographics of all their constituents?

Issue 4  Sharing model systems that work

- What can scientific societies do to provide access to proven strategies and resources for assisting women scientists in advancing in their careers, especially related to enhanced self-images and projected images?
- What actions can scientific societies undertake to successfully address gender inequity issues affecting their constituents?
- What model systems can societies develop and replicate to recruit, retain, and advance women scientists across diverse “industries”?
Outreach and collaboration within and between societies and other organizations to advance science by promoting women in science

- What policies can scientific societies implement to promote the recruitment, retention, and advancement of women scientists?
- What actions can scientific societies carry out themselves or in collaboration with others, to share information, strategies, programs, and assessment tools to advance women scientists at each stage of their careers?
- What mechanism(s) can societies develop and implement, beyond this December 10, 1999 meeting, to continue exchanging resources and developing cooperative actions for advancing women’s careers in science?

Participants were asked to consider what the “ideal” situation for women in science would be once all career issues were resolved, set goals for attaining their ideal, identify barriers to reaching their goals, and develop action plans that could be implemented to overcome barriers and achieve defined goals. These goals, obstacles, and action plans are enumerated within this report.

After the workshop, at the ASCB Women in Cell Biology Committee meeting, there was a tremendous energy and feeling that something good will happen as a result of AXXS ’99 . . . because it was well focused and mostly because it involved extremely high quality people.

Elizabeth Marincola
Executive Director
The American Society for Cell Biology
Agenda for AXXS ’99

December 9, 1999

Noon to 5:45 p.m. Registration

6–8:30 p.m. Evening Session and Reception

Poster Session
Society Poster Presentations

Introduction
Dr. Vivian W. Pinn
Director, Office of Research on Women’s Health
Associate Director for Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health

Opening Remarks
Dr. Randy W. Schekman
President
The American Society for Cell Biology

Award Presentation4
Dr. Vivian W. Pinn

Welcome Address
Dr. Ruth L. Kirschstein
Deputy Director
National Institutes of Health

Introduction of Keynote
Dr. Vivian W. Pinn

Keynote Address
“Without Struggle There is No Progress”
Dr. Carola Eisenberg
Dean of Student Affairs (Retired)
Harvard Medical School

Kickoff Networking Reception

---

4 The honor award was presented to Dr. Ruth L. Kirschstein by the Office of Research on Women’s Health, NIH, for her “distinguished contributions to women’s health research and career development” as a “Mentor – Clinician – Scientist – Leader.”
December 10, 1999

7:30–8 a.m. Registration

Morning Session: “Success in Science is Not Sex Linked”

8–8:15 a.m. Plenary Session

Introduction
Dr. Vivian W. Pinn
Director, ORWH

Charge to Working Groups
Mr. Michael Milano
President, Murphy & Milano, Inc.

8:15–11:30 a.m. Working Group Sessions on Issues 1–5

11:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m. Networking Lunch

First Afternoon Session: “Building Stairways to Success”

12:30–2:25 p.m. Continuing Working Group Sessions

Second Afternoon Session: “Societies — Key to the Future”

2:25–5:30 p.m. Plenary Session

Introduction of Panel
Dr. W. Sue Shafer
Assistant Vice Chancellor
Research Administration
University of California, San Francisco

Working Group Presentations and Feedback
Plenary Panel

5:30–5:45 p.m. Closing Remarks

Summation and Presentation
Dr. W. Sue Shafer
and
Dr. Anne Sassaman
Director, Division of Extramural Research and Training
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health
Plenary Panel Providing Feedback on Working Group Initiatives

Chair  
Ruth L. Kirschstein, M.D.  
Deputy Director  
National Institutes of Health

Cochair  
Donna Dean, Ph.D.  
Senior Advisor to Deputy Director  
National Institutes of Health

Panel  
Alfred Johnson, Ph.D.  
President Elect  
NIH Black Scientists Association  
Cochair, Communication and Membership Committee

Carlyle B. Storm, Ph.D.  
Director  
Gordon Research Conferences

Zena Werb, Ph.D.  
Chair, Women in Cell Biology  
The American Society for Cell Biology  
Vice-Chair, Department of Anatomy  
University of California, San Francisco

Madeleine Jacobs  
Editor-in-Chief  
Chemical and Engineering News

Catherine Jay Didion  
Executive Director  
Association for Women in Science

Rona Hirschberg, Ph.D.  
Chief, Infectious Diseases and  
Microbiology Integrated Review Group  
Center for Scientific Review  
National Institutes of Health
I appreciate that we really got something concrete and practical done. Now it’s time to think of one thing that we each can do personally to push forward with these initiatives.

W. Sue Shafer, Ph.D.
Assistant Vice Chancellor
Research Administration
University of California, San Francisco

The focus and process took advantage of participants’ energy, and the recommendations go beyond the scope of any one office. I challenge you to take something back with you from this meeting and do something with it. Let this be a landmark event! Let AXXS ‘99 be the day we galvanized into action!

Anne Sassaman, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Extramural Research and Training
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
A Pathways Model

for Career Progression in Science

by Pamela A. Marino, Ph.D.

Before the issue of the underrepresentation of women in the research ranks of the basic sciences can be addressed, it is necessary to understand the extent to which the problem exists, and what possible obstacles to career progression may be present. While the educational path for scientists is often thought of in terms of a “pipeline” that students “flow” through or “leak” from, data suggests that the progression from grade school directly to the Ph.D. is not linear. Rather, individuals often take different avenues to an eventual independent research career.

To address the dynamics of the rates of career progression, exit and re-entry to the career path, I have developed a Pathways Model for study of the career track of a U.S. researcher, focusing from high school to independent investigator in the basic sciences. This Pathways Model, Figure 1, is patterned after traditional biochemical models for enzyme reactions. It looks at career progression as a dynamic system, with measurable rates for progression up the career ladder, and delineates points to effectively leverage these rates or recover losses akin to biochemical “salvage pathways.”

Data for women in the disciplines of chemistry and biology, as they progress through the career pathway (as compared to their male counterparts), are from the indicated references. Changes in the rates of progression for women in chemistry and biology over time are provided for comparison, as an indication of the present state of this system. The National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Office of Program Analysis and Evaluation’s, Ms. Christina Zimmerman and Dr. James Onken, Chief, furnished the information on the demographics of high school and college graduates, as well as the data on the demographics of NIH awards. The efforts of Ms. Zimmerman and Dr. Onken in helping to prepare the demographic data used in this report are gratefully acknowledged.
Pathways Model

**Step A**
- Women make up 52 percent of the U.S. population and earned 51 to 52 percent of the more than two million high school diplomas awarded for the period, 1982 to 1994.
- 1982: 2.84 million high school diplomas were awarded, 52 percent earned by women
- 1990: 2.75 million high school diplomas were awarded, 52 percent earned by women
- 1994: 2.40 million high school diplomas were awarded, 51 percent earned by women


**Figure 1  Pathways Model**
Step B

- Over the past 20 years, the percentage of bachelors’ degrees in biology or chemistry being earned by women has increased steadily.

- In 1981, women earned 30.1 percent of the 11,540 bachelors’ degrees awarded in chemistry and 44.5 percent of the 44,046 bachelors’ degrees awarded in biology.

- By 1996, women earned 41.5 percent of the 11,137 bachelors’ degrees awarded in chemistry and the majority (52.9 percent) of the 62,081 bachelors’ degrees awarded in biology.

Note that while the total number of degrees being awarded in chemistry in 1981 to 1996 has remained rather constant, the percentage of these degrees being awarded to women has increased 11.4 percent since 1981. In biology, the number of degrees awarded to women since 1981 has increased by 8.4 percent.


Step C

- The number of women earning a Ph.D. in chemistry or biology has increased steadily over the past 20 years.

- In 1981 women earned 15 percent of the 1,329 doctoral degrees awarded to U.S. citizens and permanent residents. By 1996, women earned 30 percent of the 1,461 doctoral degrees awarded in chemistry.

- Similarly, in 1981 women earned 29.1 percent of the 3,420 doctoral degrees awarded in biology. By 1996, women earned 44.5 percent of the 4,365 doctoral degrees awarded in biology.

The demographics of women earning the terminal degree in 1998 is similar to that of their male counterparts. Both women and men are taking 7 years to obtain a Ph.D. in biology and 6 years to obtain a Ph.D. in chemistry. The median age of women and men obtaining the Ph.D. in biology is 32 years, while the median age of women and men obtaining a Ph.D. in chemistry is 29 years. Slightly more men than women earning the terminal degree were planning on doing additional postdoctoral training upon completion of their degree. In biology, 54.4 percent of men and 50.6 percent of women were planning on pursuing postdoctoral training, while 49 percent of male chemists and 44.6 percent of female chemists were planning on postdoctoral work.

Step D  Medical schools enroll approximately 16,000 students each year. The percentage of women attending medical school rose from 9 percent in 1970 to 44 percent at present, and more than 90 percent of those entering medical school complete their medical degrees.


Step E  The demographics of faculty in the basic science departments of medical schools is reviewed annually by the Association of American Medical Colleges. Comparison of the demographics, at all faculty levels, between 1990 and 1999 reveals that the number of basic science faculty at medical schools increased by 937 positions from 1990 to 1998, with 349 (37 percent) of these positions filled by women. Similar to the 1990 demographics, the bulk of the women in basic science faculty positions at medical schools were at the instructor and assistant professor level in 1998. Slight gains over the 1990 levels (approximately 5 percent) at the associate and full professor levels were made in 1998.

- In 1990, 216 (40.9 percent) of instructors on the basic science faculty at medical schools were filled by women. In 1998, 302 (41.9 percent) of these positions were held by women.
- In 1990, 1,034 (29.3 percent) of assistant professors on the basic science faculty at medical schools were women. In 1998, 1,333 (32.8 percent) of these positions were held by women.
- In 1990, 669 (19.2 percent) of associate professors on the basic science faculty at medical schools were women. In 1998, 917 (25.4 percent) of these positions were held by women.
- In 1990, 410 (9.7 percent) of professors on the basic science faculty at medical schools were women. In 1998, 759 (13.6 percent) of these positions were held by women.

Independent academic researchers must obtain outside funding to progress their research programs. Looking at NIH application and award rates for investigators not previously supported by NIH, more than twice as many men as women applied for NIH First Awards (R29 awards) between 1988 and 1997. However, women competed as well as their male counterparts in obtaining these awards. The average award rate for both women and men over the 10-year period, 1988 to 1997, was 26 percent.

The number of competing Individual Investigator Research Project Grant (R01) applications submitted by women grew from 2,401 in 1988 to 3,172 by 1997. In 1988, men were submitting more than four times as many competing applications to NIH as were women. By 1997, men were submitting only a little more than three times as many applications as women. In terms of competing R01 awards made, women have been as successful as men in obtaining funding. Women averaged an 18 percent award rate for competing NIH R01 applications from 1988 to 1997, while men averaged a 17.8 percent award rate.

Similarly, for competitive renewals of existing NIH R01 awards over the period 1988 to 1997, women have been only slightly less successful than their male counterparts in renewing their awards. During the 10-year time period of 1988 to 1997, women averaged a 35 percent success rate for competing R01 applications, compared to a 36 percent success rate for men over the same time.5

In summary, women have made steady gains in the number of undergraduate and doctoral degrees they are earning in both biology and chemistry. As of 1996, women, who make up 52 percent of the U.S. population, were earning 41.5 percent of the bachelors’ degrees and 30 percent of the doctoral degrees in chemistry, as well as 52.9 percent of the bachelors’ degrees and 44.5 percent of the doctoral degrees in biology. Women complete their graduate work in the same amount of time as their male counterparts. They pursue postdoctoral studies at similar rates, and compete as effectively for NIH funding as their male peers, when they apply. However, the percentage of NIH R01/29 individual Research Project Awards earned by women has increased only slightly over the past 10 years, from 18.3 percent in 1988 to 22.3 percent in 1997, and the promotion of women to senior (Associate Professor/Professor) career levels has been slow.

5 The National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Office of Program Analysis and Evaluation. Dr. James Onken, Chief, provided data on the demographics of NIH awards.
Opening Remarks and Presentations

Opening Remarks: Dr. Randy W. Schekman

This was a remarkable century for women in science. For most of the past 100 years, women were not recognized for their contributions; even Marie Curie had no academic appointment at her own institution. As late as the 1970s, there were almost no women in most chemistry departments, with considerable antagonism against women in science. So, we’ve come a long way in a short period of time. The American Society of Cell Biology (ASCB) has helped this progress, with its strong, although short, history of representation for women. Half of the 9,500 ASCB members are women, the greatest proportion of whom are young women. As a progressive and activist organization, ASCB offers many programs to women through WICB (Women in Cell Biology). WICB holds career lunches, where 500 people meet to discuss furthering women’s careers; has a standing column in the Cell Biology newsletter, in which articles such as “The Impostor Syndrome” are published; and sponsors annual awards to shine the spotlight on junior and senior scientists. On behalf of ASCB, thank you for coming to AXXS ’99.

Welcome Address: Dr. Ruth L. Kirschstein

Welcome on behalf of the National Institutes of Health, particularly on behalf of Donna Shalala, Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. I’d like to talk about what Dr. Schekman said. While I agree that things have changed, I think we need to acknowledge that they have not changed that much. It is true, as of 1999, that 44 percent of medical school classes are women; that women earn more than 50 percent of graduate degrees in the biological sciences; and that young women are entering faculty positions. But, it is also true that when you go to look for outstanding, well-experienced, high-level cell biologists, biochemists, and biophysicists to serve on outstanding committees and advisory councils as full professors, they are hard to find.

So, our challenge for next century is to continue the pipeline. You are here now for two things to happen: (1) to have more men at meetings like this, and (2) to have more women from whom to select to represent all of cell biology, all of biochemistry, and all of other specialties represented here.

6 All remarks are summarized and paraphrased.
It’s good for the country to be represented by a broader array of scientists. Join me in this effort. We need all of you and all of your colleagues to make sure that we are taken seriously, and that we do plan for the future. I challenge you to work with all of us. The new millennium is a wonderful place to start. Thank you.

**Keynote Address: Dr. Carola Eisenberg**

Frederick Douglass, a former slave, abolitionist leader, and advisor to Abraham Lincoln, said, “Without the struggle, there is no progress.” If women are to achieve equality in science, women have to lead the fight. We must help each other and ourselves. How many of you have nominated a female colleague for membership in the scientific organizations to which you belong? Asked a colleague to nominate a woman to membership? Nominated a woman for a prize? Solicited nominations from others on behalf of colleagues? If you have not, you are in default of duty.

Men generally do not think of women for membership, awards, prizes, and promotions. Once the names of women are put forward, men who are already in the club must make a conscious decision to include or exclude them. We have to see that the names surface. And what we cannot accomplish separately, we can accomplish when we join forces. We must join with our colleagues, insist on and analyze the facts, and seek allies among male faculty. It is up to us to fight until equity is achieved.

Gender discrimination is widespread. Most of us in this room are guilty of unintentional gender discrimination, because negative ideas about women in science are part of the academic culture we have grown up in. Yet, the issues go above and beyond academia, involving social and biological roles unique to women. While there are no tidy solutions, there are things universities can do: provide paid parental leave; subsidize quality day care; delay the tenure clock for the parent who stays home to care for the children; develop re-entry programs for women who have taken time off for children; and abolish gender-based salary discrepancies. But, after all of that has been done, the women’s movement must take the lead in shifting social values to permit women to choose family and career, without penalizing them. We have to change an American ethos that exalts work over family life, that assigns family responsibilities disproportionately to women, and that fails to prepare men to be fully human. Indeed, if we succeed in moderating work demands in a society that is obsessed with work, the benefit will be no less great for men that it will be for women.
We have come a long way. We have a long way to go. It’s a struggle we can win!

_Closing Remarks: Dr. Vivian W. Pinn_

I hope you feel that this meeting is a time of value, a positive meeting in terms of learning, exchanging information, and moving forward. We can no longer just reiterate problems; it’s time to overcome the problems. I’m excited to hear the action plans and the comments on how to refine them and make them better. I love the focus on mentoring, which is an extremely important part of anything we do. Throughout the presentations, I kept thinking about what the Office of Research on Women’s Health could do to help. We need to keep this momentum going. Your initiatives may require funding and organization to implement, and some may take a while to do. It will be lovely if, in a year’s time, we see the birth of a new consortium. So, I think we should consider some sort of smaller follow-up meeting, within the next few months, to keep these ideas fresh. The group could be an ad hoc task force, with one or two representatives for each working group here. And there are other clinical societies that might like to join us. We’ve opened the door. Let’s see what we can bring forward together.
Workshop Methodology

Each of seven working groups, assembled according to participants’ personal preferences, addressed one of the following issues:

- Mentoring and networking to promote the contributions of women scientists (two groups)
- Career development for women scientists at the mid and senior levels (two groups)
- Representation of women in scientific societies (one group)
- Sharing model systems that work (one group)
- Outreach and collaboration within and between societies and other organizations to advance science by promoting women in science (one group).

Working group members collaborated, in a four-step facilitated process, to (1) describe a shared vision depicting their idealized situation for women in science, when the issue under discussion was ultimately resolved; (2) identify core obstacles to achieving their vision; (3) propose initiatives for realizing their vision; and (4) develop action plans for implementing their top two initiatives.

The highly structured process included a variety of activities designed to generate a wide range of views in a relatively short period of time, and to highlight and build upon common ground. Two facilitators in each working group guided participants through these activities, helped them stay focused, and insured that results were documented for the plenary meeting, this report, and follow-up action. Representatives from the seven groups then presented 14 initiatives and action plans at a plenary session, to both the general audience and a panel of recognized experts and authorities in science. The specific results of each of these activities, which have been compiled and synthesized, are presented on the following pages.
Shared Vision: Desired Goals

To define their vision for women scientists, including an “ideal” working model of the scientific community, members of each working group described what conditions would be like when the career issue being discussed by the group was finally resolved. They did so by first imagining a future point in time when the specific questions associated with their issue had been successfully resolved, and all concerns related to women’s careers in science had been fully addressed. Working from this future perspective, participants suggested observable data and intangible differences that would unquestionably indicate the successful resolution of their specific career issue. They organized their comprehensive list of items into categories, which they then titled according to the overarching theme of each category.

Themes crossing all working groups demonstrated remarkable agreement among participants about the ideal outcome of advancing women’s contributions to science through professional societies, regardless of the issue being addressed. Descriptions of the primary characteristics of the groups’ imagined future, in which all five career issues for women scientists have been resolved, follows. The characteristics are organized into eight goals and are presented in order, based on the number of groups that mentioned them, from most frequently mentioned to least frequently mentioned. Table 1 links these thematic goals to the five women’s scientific career issues under consideration, showing which goal was thought to be an important element in the desired outcome for each defined issue.7

**Goal 1**

A new cultural norm for women

At some imagined future point, when all career issues for women in science are resolved, “gender bias is eliminated, women’s leadership and communication styles are valued, the image and perception of women is highly valued, and science and family are compatible roles for women and men in this society.” Encompassing a broad spectrum of characteristics, this goal may represent the ultimate outcome of all the working groups.

---

7 Some interpretation was made with regard to these and other themes throughout the document. Further clarification may be required to fully capture the specific intention of each working group.
**Goal 2**  
Equity with male counterparts

The existence of equity for women in science refers primarily to the equal representation of women in their disciplines and societies, as compared to their male counterparts. Many of the items involving equity focus on leadership positions and the holding of “influence and power.” The term “parity” can also be used to describe this goal. Equity/parity may be the easiest outcome to measure, as data becomes more available on society membership, honorary awards, grants, faculty positions, leadership roles, pay rates, journal editors, and so on.

**Goal 3**  
High visibility and recognition

When current career issues cease to be obstacles for women in science, there is increased recognition and widespread “professional respect” for the accomplishments and contributions of women scientists. Large numbers of well-known women deliver keynote addresses and women routinely “receive awards” for their achievements.

**Goal 4**  
Mentoring as an integral part of career development and advancement for women

Mentoring is “gender neutral” and refers to both one-to-one and institutional programs. Some of the characteristics of this goal are that “men seek out women mentors,” and “mentoring women is intrinsic to societies.” In the envisioned future, mentoring occurs in high schools, as well as at assistant professor and senior scientist levels. Mentoring may well be one of the most important activities for bringing about other desired outcomes.

**Goal 5**  
Varied and valued career options for women

Expanded career possibilities for women in science are “widely promoted and highly visible” at all stages of the career pathway. As a result, more teen girls opt to take high school science, an “incubator” environment provides conditions favorable to the advancement of women in science, and greater numbers of mid- and upper-level women scientists remain on their chosen career tracks.
### Table 1
**Thematic Goals Linked to Issues Addressed by Working Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 A new cultural norm for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Equity with male counterparts</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 High visibility and recognition for successful women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Mentoring as an integral part of career development and advancement for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Varied and valued career options for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Readily available networking, resources, and support for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Professional advancement and skill building for women through scientific societies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Inner and outer empowerment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 6**

**Readily available networking, resources, and support**

Women have access to, and are “included in,” non-gender-biased networks. These networks are formal and informal, as well as “faculty and employer sponsored.”
Goal 7  Professional advancement and skill building through scientific societies

There is significant support within societies to help women in science advance their careers. Such support exists in the form of “mechanisms to promote an individual’s career, funding for skill building and development, affirmative public statements from scientific societies, and job access and advancement through societies.”

Goal 8  Inner and outer empowerment

The final goal of the shared vision may sum up the entire set: empowerment. Inner empowerment refers to women being comfortable with themselves and their careers, feeling valued and effective, and holding an empowered attitude — free from any victim-like mentality. Outer empowerment includes the collaboration and exchange from peers and role models, and “MIT-type studies initiated by societies.”

---

8 The MIT Study reported on the status and perceived marginalization of women faculty. See Appendix C for the complete reference.
Core Obstacles: Barriers to Success

To identify the core obstacles to advancing the careers of women in science, especially to the most senior levels, working groups listed the major factors that currently interfere with the achievement of their shared vision of an ideal scientific community. To be selected, each key impediment had to meet one or more of the following criteria:

- It has high impact (major detrimental effects).
- It is pervasive.
- It is systemic (underlying other obstacles).
- It is actionable (something can be done about it).

Once participants listed these obstacles to realizing their ideal outcome for women in science, they either grouped the obstacles (if there were similarities), or left them as they were (if each obstacle was unique). For the purposes of this report, obstacles from all working groups were combined and synthesized, then reviewed for common themes.

There was considerable overlap among the seven working groups concerning the core obstacles to advancing women’s contributions to science through professional societies. A review of the raw data, presented in its entirety in Table 2, revealed five highly interrelated themes that may prove to be helpful in thinking about and addressing identified problems. These thematic core obstacles are briefly defined below.

They are listed in order, from the most mentioned to the least mentioned, with a slight adjustment for the number of groups mentioning them.

**Core Obstacle 1**  
Entrenched gender bias and cultural expectations

The widespread and longstanding attitudes, beliefs, and values about the role of women in society, held within the culture at large, transcend women in science and focus on the “social status quo” and the devaluation of women in general. Because obstacles falling into this theme exist on such a broad scale, addressing them (and achieving many of the conditions described in the shared vision) will require concerted efforts to change cultural perceptions and attitudes regarding women scientists, including their roles and contributions, their professions, and their families.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Core Obstacles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Mentoring and Networking</td>
<td>- Isolation (due to leaving field and coming back or wrong career decisions or no professional contacts to help you)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Stereotyping, role models, self-image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Communication styles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Knowing rules of game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Sociocultural expectations and family responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Social status quo — maintenance of male-dominant hierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Institutional attitudes — current criteria for success, personal choices (women’s choices around children and family, etc. are not honored and supported by the institution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Gender attitudes — women not supporting women, men and women attitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Professional preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Career Development</td>
<td>- Senior decisionmaking by ‘old boys’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Success-oriented training — women’s aspirations, visibility, sensitivity to criticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Information — about women in the field, to women in the field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number of women — mentoring, role models, pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Career facilitation and strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Institutional incentives — money, recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Narrow view of scholarship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Resource allocation to men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Data and education to change attitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of support mechanisms — lack of mentoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Internal and external perceptions of one’s value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Personal psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Representation in Societies</td>
<td>- Lack of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of dissemination of information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of collaboration among and between women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of mechanisms for organized action across societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Recognition of problem, especially middle career and up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(to recognize the problem of lack of women representation in societies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of self-confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Fear, reticence, and lack of risk taking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Core Obstacles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3 Representation in Societies (continued) | - Stereotypes (about women roles)  
- Tracking (related to data collection, subconsciously track in a particular less powerful past)  
- Invisibility, intentional and unintentional  
- Leadership's failure to understand importance to science of advancing women  
- Lack of recognition  
- Devaluation of women and women's role in society  
- Lack of understanding in the professional societies of the value added of women  
- Lack of motivation to change status quo  
- Disciplines "eat their young" (competition among societies for their members)  
- Entrenched power structure in societies and disciplines  
- Changes standard of success (male model)  
- Negative image  
- Lack of women on appointment committees |
| 4 Sharing Model Systems | - Tradition based on male culture  
- Academic structure  
- Multiple demands on time  
- Recognition of extent of family vs. work issues  
- Disparity between behavior and culture (i.e., women are too nice, too naïve, not "slimy" or political enough)  
- Economic funding and resources  
- Barriers to career development  
- Discrimination exists in appointment and promotion  
- People promote and participate with "like people" |
| 5 Outreach and Collaboration | - Awareness to action (lack of advocacy from leadership, due to lack of awareness)  
- Network is gender biased  
- Number of women in power  
- (Lack of) resources, money, power (within societies)  
- Undervaluation of contribution of women  
- Women's self-image and lack of history |
Core Obstacle 2  Male model of career success in science

This theme echoes the previous one on a more focused, albeit no less pervasive, scale. It highlights gender bias within the context of the institutions, industries, and organizations that employ scientists, and emphasizes the effects of such gender bias on women's careers in science. Specific obstacles include male-dominated and institutionalized structures and systems for professional advancement that place women at a disadvantage.

Core Obstacle 3  Societies underutilized in promoting women's careers in science

In this theme, the focus turns to the opportunity for scientific societies to use their power and influence to advance women's careers in science. Included here are the general lack of awareness regarding the significance and scope of the problem, often due to insufficient information, and the dearth of mechanisms and incentives for changing the status quo, once the problems are recognized.

Core Obstacle 4  Inadequate professional preparation and career support

Obstacles related to women's inability to find the support they require to move forward in their careers include the small number of women role models, the unmet need for both men and women mentors, and the often-unpublicized “rules of the game.”

Core Obstacle 5  Women's self perception

Women in science often feel isolated, which likely results from (and exacerbates) other obstacles. Whether such isolation comes from their attempts to balance careers and families, or from their being left out of the loop of traditional, male-dominated circles of influence, it affects women's perceptions of their own value. It may even increase their fears about moving ahead in their careers.

We don't have good data on the effectiveness of the programs we do have. Our data collection is not good science.

Jong-on Hahm, Ph.D.  
Participant
Results: Initiatives and Action Plans

Keeping in mind their goals and core obstacles, working groups discussed potential initiatives and lobbied their fellow participants to consider their strongest proposals for maximizing the contributions of women in science. Then, by voting for the initiatives they considered most promising, members from each working group selected two initiatives to focus on for the remainder of their time together. Their task: to produce draft action plans for the selected pair of initiatives, each containing the aim, evaluation criteria, and the method of implementation, including specific action steps for societies to take to achieve the initiative’s aim.

The seven working groups developed and documented action plans for 14 initiatives geared toward advancing the careers of women scientists. Representatives from the working groups then presented these top initiatives and their action plans to the general audience and a panel of recognized experts and authorities in various scientific disciplines. Panel members, as well as participants, raised questions and offered advice on how societies might proceed with each initiative.

For the purposes of this report, the 14 initiatives were reviewed for common themes and organized into four distinct, yet highly interrelated, categories. The four categories and their component initiatives are listed on page 35. Table 3 shows which initiatives, by category, were developed to address each of the five issues considered by the working groups. Note that, because there were two groups addressing issues one and two, there are twice as many initiatives (four) for these two issues than there are for the other issues, which have only two initiatives each. Interestingly, there is broad support, among groups addressing different issues, for initiatives in each of the four categories. For example,

- each of the two groups working on Issue 1 (Mentoring and Networking), developed one initiative about mentoring and networking and a second initiative related to leadership, visibility, and recognition;
- the two groups addressing Issue 2 (Career Development) generated initiatives in all four categories of leadership, mentoring, best practices, and oversight; and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative Category</th>
<th>Leadership, Visibility, and Recognition</th>
<th>Mentoring and Networking</th>
<th>Best Practices</th>
<th>Oversight, Tracking, and Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Mentoring and Networking</td>
<td>Establish a national mentorship system for women</td>
<td>Create a networking website for scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formalize mechanisms for opportunities, awareness, and development for women in science</td>
<td>Provide training and facilitate understanding regarding rules of the game</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Career Development</td>
<td>Establish a national mentorship system for women</td>
<td>Create a networking website for scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop forums to highlight successes of women scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Representation in Societies</td>
<td>Increase the number of women in society leadership roles</td>
<td>Establish mentoring as a core activity of professional societies</td>
<td>Design best practices for the advancement of women</td>
<td>Establish a report card on the status of women in science and engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Find and implement new strategies for leadership development programs within societies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sharing Model Systems</td>
<td>Develop effective mentoring programs</td>
<td>Establish a best practices clearinghouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Outreach and Collaboration</td>
<td>Create an umbrella organization of professional societies to facilitate networking and exchange of information and ideas</td>
<td>Develop a database of women scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the group considering Issue 4 (Sharing Model Systems) developed initiatives related to mentoring, networking, and best practices.

Summary of Initiatives Societies Can Undertake to Address the Career Issues of Women in Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Leadership, Visibility, and Recognition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Develop forums to highlight successes of women scientists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Formalize mechanisms for opportunities, awareness, and development for women in science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Increase the number of women in society leadership roles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Find and implement new strategies for leadership development programs within societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Provide training and facilitate understanding regarding the “rules of the game” as they pertain to networking, promotion, tenure, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Mentoring and Networking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Establish a national mentorship system for women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Establish mentoring as a core activity of professional societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Develop effective mentoring programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Create a networking website for scientists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Best Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Design best practices for the advancement of women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Establish a best practices clearinghouse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Oversight, Tracking, and Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Create an umbrella organization of professional societies to facilitate networking and exchange of information and ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Develop a database of women scientists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Establish a report card on the status of women in science and engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions for each theme follow, along with the detailed action plans for each initiative. Information on the action plans developed and presented by working groups is taken directly from workshop proceedings. Subsequent comments from the panel and audience are paraphrased.
The five initiatives in this category involve shining the light on both the criteria and process of advancing in science, and the achievements of women in scientific careers. They focus on raising awareness within the general public and the scientific community about opportunities for women scientists. Strategies include extensive media campaigns, professional networks, periodic meetings to promote collaboration and share experiences, workshops and training in subjects such as mentoring and career advancement, and the tracking and use of awards. Several initiatives emphasize the need to examine and publicize models of success, disseminate criteria for promotion, and formalize oral traditions. Desired results will be measured in terms of equity — the number of women who are nominated for awards, selected as keynote speakers and session organizers, and promoted to leadership positions. Each initiative is listed below.

**Initiative 1:** Develop forums to highlight successes of women scientists

**Initiative 2:** Formalize mechanisms for opportunities, awareness, and development for women in science

**Initiative 3:** Increase the number of women in society leadership roles

**Initiative 4:** Find and implement new strategies for leadership development programs within societies

**Initiative 5:** Provide training and facilitate understanding regarding the “rules of the game” as they pertain to networking, promotion, tenure, etc.
Initiative 1: Develop forums to highlight successes of women scientists

*Aim* To make women more visible and to advance their careers by increasing the recognition of their scientific accomplishments

*Evaluation* (indicators of success)

- Women are equally represented
  - as nominees for awards
  - as journal editors
  - as major session organizers and speakers (by 50 percent)
  - as department chairs, professors, and deans (double percentage to 25 percent)
  - in NAS and IOM elections (by 50 percent)
  - in government and industry leadership posts.

- Women are recognized for their scientific contributions.

*Method*

**Description of Initiative:** This initiative will increase the visibility of women’s accomplishments through communication and public relations.

**Action Steps:**

- Produce newsletter or feature column on “Success Stories” — demystifying how process works
- Establish designated lecture with money
- Create member spotlight and develop web page highlighting accomplishments of women members, with reciprocal links to other useful sites
- Write success story column on attaining personal and professional balance
- Develop trans-society networks for women — AXXS for the millennium
- Produce lists, databases, and directories featuring women and their expertise
- Publish calendar and process for prestigious awards
- Develop topical listservs and group lists
- Distribute press releases about women
- Develop strategies to get women onto committees and editorial board lists, pairing two women to run for one position
- Identify best practices — facilitate exchange of success stories to increase visibility of women
- Use network to study progress of AXXS ’99
- Notify recipients’ institutions and congressional representative of honors and awards
- Encourage endowments for women’s award lecture

*Presenter* Karla Saunders
Legislative Associate, American Chemical Society

*Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants* (statements are paraphrased)

Alfred Johnson, Ph.D., Panel Member
In trying to promote visibility, especially at national meetings, did you think about how to get sessions dedicated to women?

Karla Saunders, Presenter
We thought that having a list would help, as well as getting involved with staffs at societies, especially women’s committees, to push the issue forth.

Participant
Lists are not getting to people who need them. Some of the major conferences are notoriously bad for not using women. I don’t know if they are not using the lists or not seeing them.

Participant
Is having a list enough? How can we get people to want to use it? How can we get it to people who need it? And how can we get people who have it to use it? Just sending the list doesn’t mean people will use it.
**Alfred Johnson, Ph.D., Panel Member**

Is it possible for societies to provide lists of people whom they think will make good chairs and presenters to all societies that have national meetings? Is it feasible to go directly to societies’ program planning committees?

**Participant**

This could be successful if you went to presidents of societies.

**Carlyle Storm, Ph.D., Panel Member**

Make sure you provide lists to conference planners.

---

*At the Committee on the Advancement of Chemists, we’ve adopted exactly the tactics you describe and are working on awards, editorships, symposia, and so forth. It’s about getting individuals to do the work.*

Janet Osteryoung

Participant

*Women in Cell Biology have a list of women speakers and a resource bureau where women are not necessarily putting themselves forward as speakers, but recommending others, especially at the mid level. Everyone knows the stars. We want to bring up women who are not yet recognized.*

Participant
Initiative 2: Formalize mechanisms for opportunities, awareness, and development of women in science

Aim
To create formal mechanisms and processes to support the development of women scientists, to engender networking and mentoring opportunities, and to increase public awareness of opportunities for women in science.

Evaluation (indicators of success)
Progress toward aim is demonstrated through
- regular reports from Advisory Council
- the issuance of an Executive Order
- publication of proceedings of society meetings
- the distribution of related workshop and training curricula
- annual tracking of media campaign and awards
- annual tracking of school programs.

Method
Action Steps:
- Organize an Advisory Council, coordinated by the Office of Research on Women’s Health, to develop activities between federal agencies, societies and professional organizations, and universities [by 2000]
- Promote public awareness and education through Presidential Initiative/Order, media campaign with recognition and awards, and women scientists reaching out to K to 12 schools — perhaps senior scientist paired with a junior scientist to teach students about women in science [by 2001]
- Establish national mentoring and networking programs through periodic meetings of women in science to promote leadership and collaboration; mentoring training workshops; and workshops on careers in science for undergraduates, so they can get the most out of mentoring [by 2000–2001]
When we talked about our ultimate goal, we saw men mentoring women and women mentoring men — both as common occurrences.

Lauren Fasig, Ph.D., J.D.
Presenter

What you propose is something we’d be interested in.

Vivian W. Pinn, M.D.
Director, Office of Research on Women’s Health

Presenter  Lauren Fasig, Ph.D., J.D.
Director, Society for Research in Child Development
Office for Policy and Communication

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Alfred Johnson, Ph.D., Panel Member
Your media campaign will probably require funding. What were your thoughts about that?

Lauren Fasig, Ph.D., J.D., Presenter
We thought the Advisory Council would determine strategies and conduct fundraising activities as part of its overall planning.

Participant
A Yale survey of junior faculty showed that men were also dissatisfied with mentoring. We need to develop something that works for men and women.

Participant
Communication has to be addressed; entities involved in similar activities must be willing to share information and move forward together.

Participant
We need to teach people how to be mentees, who can then teach mentors how to be good mentors.
Participant
Most mentees will be website friendly; we could provide access through the Internet to mentors, for scientists at all levels.

At CAWMSET (Commission on the Advancement of Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities in Science, Engineering, and Technology Development), we’re also grappling with what has not worked in the past and what might work in the future, including a public relations campaign like nothing that has ever been done before. And there’s an incredible number of activities here that are similar to what the commission is talking about. With NIH and NSF as partners to CAWMSET, I think it’s important that we maintain linkages about these ideas and efforts, especially in the spring, when things can come together.

Donna Dean, Ph.D.
Panel Member

What about getting a postage stamp? People could pay a couple of cents toward women in science. Or maybe we can give people a place to check off on their tax returns to set money aside for the mentorship of women, minorities, and disabled persons in science.

Participant
Initiative 3: Increase the number of women in leadership roles

Aim
To have societies implement a 5-year plan to ensure that leadership reflects each society’s demographics

Evaluation (indicators of success)

Short term
- A plan is established and implemented within a year.
- At least five society-run pilot projects are begun during the first year.

Long term
- At 15 years, society leadership more accurately reflects the demographics, e.g., in its percentage of officers, board members, committee chairs, editorial boards, program chairs, session moderators, and lectureships.

Method

Action Steps:
- Identify society leaders who are willing to pilot the ideas
- Develop an information campaign with collected data (Internet, newspapers, professional journals, etc.)
- Campaign to promote results of pilot projects, i.e., success stories (what organizations have increased the number of women on their executive boards, etc.)
- Organize an event to share pilot experiences, in the second year (could take place on Internet)

We want to find five society presidents or executive boards that are interested in getting this initiative started. The results of the survey could be published on the Internet. One incentive for societies to participate is that women with limited resources for joining professional societies would choose societies that were most friendly to them, the societies that gave them the most opportunities for advancement.

Elaine Spector, Ph.D.
Presenter
**Presenter**  
Elaine Spector, Ph.D.  
Associate Professor, Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine (Professional Women in Genetics, American Society of Human Genetics)

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**  
(statements are paraphrased)

*Madeleine Jacobs, Panel Member*  
You talk about getting representation that reflects the demographics of societies. The problem for some societies, such as the American Chemical Society (ACS), is that the demographics of the society do not reflect the demographics of women chemists that are out there. ACS has 159,000 members, 27 percent of whom are women. Yet 50 percent of bachelors’ degrees in chemistry go to women, and 35 percent of the doctoral degrees in chemistry are awarded to women. You need to look at each society.

*Participant*  
Our membership is 48 percent women, and we expect a majority of women by 2003. We’ve been actively increasing our women members for 15 years, including bringing in two women from each state and teaching them about leadership. They’re now in leadership positions.

*Madeleine Jacobs, Panel Member*  
It’s important that you tap into campus organizations.

*Businesses describe getting more women and minorities in leadership positions as ‘mission critical.’ They talk about the business case for diversity. You don’t hear that in academia. You don’t hear that from societies.*  

*Participant*
Initiative 4: Find and implement new strategies for leadership development programs within societies

Aim To have more women in leadership roles in societies and to have societies understand that diversity is critical to their mission

Evaluation (indicators of success)

- Data supports progress toward aim in
  - events
  - awards
  - women’s participation at meetings.
- There is a higher percentage of women participating to explore and refine specific historical data of career development.

Method

Action Steps:

- Designate a board position dedicated to diversifying the membership of the board and positions of leadership
- Get external funding to support leadership programs
- Examine models of “tenure success” and formalize oral traditions
- Propose to societies that they prominently display a women’s section on websites with appropriate maintenance (staff and salary)
- Establish a “Shadowing Program” during society meetings, with travel money for young professionals, which pairs a junior and senior scientist for 5 days — a small commitment

In our group, we talked about how we’re trying to stick people into a model of doing things that doesn’t fit any more with the way people want to work — neither women nor men.

Jong-on Hahm, Ph.D.
Presenter
Presenter  Jong-on Hahm, Ph.D.
Director, Committee on Women in Science and Engineering
National Research Council

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Participant
We’re in a capitalistic society where economics are important. Why not partner with Bill Gates? Talk about web access! He’s even reaching out to minorities. And what about Donald Trump? Let’s get these men involved in a quest for mentorship; take our ideas to people with big bucks.

The Computing Research Association got women together who had been hired as Assistant Professors and told them what to do, and what not to do, to get tenure.

Jong-on Hahm, Ph.D.
Presenter
Initiative 5: Provide training and facilitate understanding regarding the “rules of the game” as they pertain to networking, promotion, tenure, etc.

**Aim**
To create an informed scientific community that understands influence, resources, and career advancement

**Evaluation**
Indicators of success include

- equitable representation of women
- an informed scientific community with regard to career advancement.

**Method**

**Description of Initiative:** This initiative will clarify and actively publicize and disseminate written competency-based criteria for scientific promotion; provide training in communication styles and gender differences; disseminate “unwritten rules;” and increase access to role models.

**Action Steps:**

**Promotion criteria**
- Develop a model for institutional clarification of and adherence to criteria
- Find funding sources and professional societies to endorse (and possibly enforce)

**Training to raise consciousness**
- Provide training at annual meetings (and universities) under supervision of societies (similar to ethics training at universities)
- Include in website information

**Unwritten rules**
- Categorize information (what is important)
- Include in website (moderated, anonymous) and link to other sites
Role models

- Help societies maximize events where informal communication can take place
- Obtain and provide training grants to fund travel to mentoring events, including non-scientific venues
- Develop a database of biographies and descriptions of career paths and current situations of successful women in science

We need to be careful not to schedule women’s career sessions at the same time as a major speaker.

Tamara Doering, Ph.D.
Presenter

Presenter Tamara Doering, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Molecular Microbiology
Washington University School of Medicine
and Society of Glycobiology

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Participant
I have some concern about promotion criteria that are supposed to be written, but have not been seen. It’s important to be sure that people understand this.

Participant
A good example of how this can work is the “Systers” website, which started with 20 subscribers and now has 2,500 members. In a way, it has suffered from its own success. When it was smaller, it was more effective in dealing with unwritten rules; junior members would have questions of protocol that senior members could answer. Anybody can start one.
Participant
Check out WISENET (Women in Science and Engineering Network). There are lots of questions and answers about how to do “X” — from how to get tenure to how to find comfortable shoes. Also, with regard to promotion criteria, it can vary from institution to institution. For physician scientists, we found that certain things helped with promotion, so we posted what to do and how to do it on our website. This year, for the first time, two women are further along in the nomination process than ever before.

In surveying people about their career paths, ask what the barriers were and how they overcame them, to come up with the unwritten rules of the game. A lot of the answers will tie into gender differences.

Madeleine Jacobs
Panel Member

It’s amazing what faculty don’t know. We’ve tried to level the playing field and open up the unwritten rules. But you can’t do it in formal guidelines. We put them on a website and drafted a guidebook on planning for tenure that contains “tips.” You can get away with unwritten rules in a “tips” document. Also, some organizations have linked career development with other training. The women’s group at the American Society of Nephrology organized a session for junior nephrologists and offered scholarships for faculty to attend.

Page Morahan, Ph.D.
Participant

* See Appendix C for information on WISENET.
Category 2
Mentoring and Networking

The four initiatives in this category entail developing a formal, organized mentoring system to encourage, support, and help advance the careers of women scientists. Action steps involve (1) conducting a survey of societies to identify what is already being done and to assess the interest in and need for mentoring programs; (2) forming a steering committee or working group to determine alternative models or templates for mentoring; (3) developing a training course on mentoring; (4) creating program evaluation tools; and (5) establishing a website with a mentoring database, chat room, and resource area. Incentives for participation in the mentorship system combine “carrots” (such as awards) and “sticks” (such as funding linked to mandatory requirements). The success of these initiatives will be measured by the number of participating institutions, societies, mentors, and mentees, as well as by an increase in equity between male and female scientists. Each initiative is listed below.

Initiative 6: Establish a national mentorship system for women
Initiative 7: Establish mentoring as core activity of professional societies
Initiative 8: Develop effective mentoring programs
Initiative 9: Create a networking website for scientists
Initiative 6: Establish a national mentorship system for women

**Aim**
To increase the quality and quantity of mentorship for women in the sciences

**Evaluation**
- **Quantitative indicators of success**
  - Large number of mentees, mentors, and former mentees now recognized as mentors
  - Statistics on short- and long-term results
- **Qualitative indicators of success**
  - Positive mentee evaluation of mentor
  - Positive mentor evaluation of mentorship system and institutional support
  - Positive institutional evaluation of mentoring impact
  - Positive evaluation of the overall initiative

**Method**

**Description of Initiative:** This initiative will create a system to encourage, develop, and recognize mentorship for women.

**Action Steps:**
- Ascertain professional society interest
- Establish a working group from interested public and private entities (disinterested parties come later)
- Identify and assess resources available to encourage, develop, and recognize mentorship for women
- Define incentives to achieve aim and initiative, such as
  - Developing courses about mentoring and linking course completion with financial incentives for individuals, such as merit increases, funding ability, promotions, and the number of graduate students allowed to recruit
  - Tying mentoring activities to financial incentives
- establishing an institutional requirement for a mentorship
development program
- developing a mandatory mentoring module for men and women in collaboration with professional societies
- establishing mentoring awards for individuals and institutions

**Presenter**  
Caroline M. Kane, Ph.D.  
Adjunct Professor, University of California, Berkeley  
Department of Molecular and Cell Biology  
and Women in Cell Biology, ASCB/ASBMB

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**  
(statements are paraphrased)

*Rona Hirschberg, Ph.D., Panel Member*  
Is anyone aware of a current institutionalized mentoring program that could serve as a model?

*Participant*  
The Endocrine Society has a mentoring program in connection with Women in Endocrinology. Members select women for all positions and then all women vote for them. The society has had many women presidents and would be willing to share their template.

*Participant*  
Scientists in industry need and could be mentors too. We need to include both public and private members.

*Participant*  
We should coordinate letters to societies regarding different mentoring initiatives.

*Participant*  
Let’s think about targeting letters to societies. Our website has more than 600 active links to different societies and organizations. That’s a lot of letters.
Schools with mentoring programs could recruit better students.

Carlyle Storm, Ph.D.
Panel Member

What we’re proposing is a carrot and stick approach — tactical and strategic — mixing both voluntary and mandatory elements. We didn’t think that providing information would be sufficient, so the stick is having ‘mandatory’ mentoring programs. Institutions not developing a mentoring program would lose graduate students.

Carolyn Kane, Ph.D.
Presenter

What about accrediting? Or bringing the issue of mentoring into the metric tally done by the US News and World Report. If you could do that, there would be an entirely different ranking of institutions than we normally see.

Jong-on Hahm, Ph.D.
Participant
Initiative 7: Establish mentoring as a core activity of professional societies

Aim

To increase the number of mid- and senior-level women scientists and the number of women in leadership positions

Evaluation (indicators of success)

Short-term success is revealed by the
- identification and sustainability of mentors
- establishment of mentoring relationships and goals between mentors and mentees
- satisfaction with and continuity of mentoring relationships until goals are achieved.

Method

Action Steps:

- Create a Steering Committee of AXXS '99 attendees from professional societies [by December 1999], which
  - forms oversight group that generates report on outcomes [periodically, beginning in April 2002]
  - manages web site for progress reports and exchange of ideas among mentoring groups at different professional societies [ongoing]
- Develop alternatives models for mentoring with evaluations tools geared toward leadership and achievement at highest levels of science [by April 2000]
- Devise a marketing plan for promoting mentoring program to professional societies [by June 2000]
  - Identify interested societies
- Recruit interested societies [by August 2000]
  - Conduct a specific needs assessment of participating society members [by December 2000]
  - Adapt model [by January 2001]
  - Implement model, reporting outcomes periodically to Steering Committee [by April 2001]
We took the tact in looking at career development of scientists at the mid and senior levels that mentoring was one of the most important factors that led to the success of both women and men in high-level positions.

Rosalyn Scott, M.D., MSHA
Presenter

Presenter  Rosalyn Scott, M.D., MSHA
Associate Professor, Charles R. Drew University
of Medicine and Science

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Donna Dean, Ph.D., Panel Member
There are many people out there who have it in their hearts and minds to be good mentors, but who inadvertently do things that are not quite right, some of which are subtle. They need to learn how to mentor others effectively.

Zena Werb, Ph.D., Panel Member
You want interested societies? The first step is to generate something (among societies) that resembles interest. How will you take the most reluctant groups — areas where women scientists don’t have power — and try to empower your approach?

Rosalyn Scott, M.D., MSHA, Presenter
One example I can give involves women thoracic surgeons, who must be among the least empowered group, with only 98 women certified in the 51-year history of the American Board of Thoracic Surgery. We asked the Society of Thoracic Surgeons to hold a special symposium recognizing the contributions of women to thoracic surgery. It is happening in January. Our strategy was not to have women talking about their accomplishments, but rather to have highly recognized men as speakers. The only woman on the panel will provide demographics. All the qualitative presentations will be done by men.
Did you give any thought to how you would have societies go to the people who need to be mentors — the faculty of departments in academia? You need an implementation plan to keep it going.

Ruth L. Kirschstein, M.D.
Panel Member

There has to be good training for mentors, especially for men who are in the right level positions for becoming mentors. They need to know things like: What is a good mentor? How can you fit mentoring into your schedule? What are the issues and strategies you can help younger professionals with?

Andrea Zardetto-Smith, Ph.D.
Participant
Initiative 8: Develop effective mentoring programs

Aim To implement effective mentoring, career development, and support mechanisms for scientists at all levels of career development and achievement

Evaluation (indicators of success)

- There is male-female equity in awards, jobs, salary, positions, etc. (per society basis).
- There is equity in male-female committee appointments, officers, board members, and editorships.
- Societies are successful in their recruitment and retention of female members.

Method

Description of Initiative: This initiative will develop effective mentorship programs within societies.

Action Steps:

- Complete a baseline survey of societies’ current mentoring activities [by December 2000]
  - Conduct annual update
  - Follow up on this meeting
  - Obtain funding from the government
- Publish survey results, with annual updates, on the web (as part of the website from this workshop)
- Review, compare, and create templates for mentoring programs to determine what works and what doesn’t
  - Use different content templates for different types of mentoring (with outcomes)
- Disseminate templates for effective mentoring to all societies

We believe that many societies already have mentoring programs.

Joy Ware, Ph.D.
Presenter
We hope to get a broad base of societies involved and plan to send an email note to this workshop’s participants asking for your help in identifying societies to include in the survey.

Dianna Bourke, Ph.D.
Working Group Member

**Presenter**  Joy Ware, Ph.D.
Professor, Virginia Commonwealth University
Department of Pathology

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**
(statements are paraphrased)

_Madeleine Jacobs, Panel Member_
When you do your survey, request information on all mentoring programs, including minorities, and not just programs for women. You will get a variety of ideas about what mentoring systems work. What has made the American Chemical Society’s Scholars Program so successful in recruiting undergraduate students is its mentoring component: having a person assigned to each student throughout the undergraduate years. So, make sure your survey is large enough.

_Donna Dean, Ph.D., Panel Member_
Do you have any sense of the percentage of societies that have mentoring programs?

_Joy Ware, Ph.D., Presenter_
No. Our group had no consensus on how many, but we believe that many societies do have mentoring activities, ranging from formal one-to-one contact to informal mentoring lunches.

*I’ve referred the group with this initiative to the National Research Council, which can assist them in sending surveys to selected societies. NIH and NSF have been working with the Committee on Women in Science and Engineering. It’s a good locus and focus for many of these initiatives.*

_Donna Dean, Ph.D.
Panel Member_
Initiative 9: Create a networking website for scientists

Aim
To produce exemplary mentoring at every stage of career development, especially for women

Evaluation (indicators of success)
- Registered hits to website by category
- Assessed user satisfaction (were technical and career development questions answered?)
- Large number of mentoring contracts generated and fulfilled
- Large number of societies participating in website and training (health sciences, physical sciences, academia, and industry)

Method

Description of Initiative: This initiative will create a networking website for scientists, consisting of a mentoring database (who offers what), a chat room (for informal Questions and Answers), and a resource area (with links to other sites).

Action Steps:
- Develop website
  - Identify organization to oversee website [by March 2000]
  - Identify and assess existing databases [by July 2000]
  - Develop draft website with three components [by September 2000]
  - Develop registration profile [by September 2000]
  - Develop evaluation questionnaire [by October 2000]
  - Develop mechanisms for formalizing mentoring contracts [by October 2000]
- Market the website initiative (over 1 or 2 years through a series of workshops to teach faculty what it is to mentor and why they should be mentors)
  - Identify and create template for mentoring workshop
  - Have societies commit to workshop at their next annual meetings
We need different types of mentoring for different issues. Co-mentoring can actually occur at a peer level.

Sue Hepplefinger, Ph.D.
Presenter

Assistant Professor, University of Cincinnati
Department of Pathology
Chair, Committee for Career Development, Women, and Minorities
American Society for Investigative Pathology

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Participant
Do you have any thoughts about how to provide access to this information for people without web access? There are many people around the world without access to the Internet. And what about providing information in Spanish?

Sue Hepplefinger, Ph.D., Presenter
I have no answers and I appreciate the problems. Maybe we could put information in marketing materials and disseminate through society newsletters to get the word out about mentoring workshops at annual meetings. But then, only a small percentage of scientists go to their own society’s meetings.
We’ve thought about bringing NIH activities together with other efforts and discussed the real dilemma of reaching people who don’t yet have access to technologies. We might unfairly presume that significant numbers of people in graduate schools have access to some of these technologies. There are insufficient answers; it’s something for all of us to grapple with.

Donna Dean, Ph.D.
Panel Member

I have the perception that there are areas of science where scientists don’t appreciate the importance of belonging to societies, even in significant areas. There’s increasing apathy among faculty and students, many of whom are the people who need to have access to what we are talking about. We need to encourage young people to belong to societies and get the attention of people not tuned into the mechanism of societies. How can we reach them?

Zena Werb, Ph.D.
Panel Member

It’s hard to get to websites that do exist. Some are buried within organizations. And there’s no single portal that opens up to ‘women in science and engineering’ for example.

Participant
The two initiatives in this category emphasize best practices as models for increasing women’s access to, and retention in, scientific careers. Each initiative, however, approaches best practices from a distinct point of view. One initiative requires the development of best practices for advancing women scientists in government, societies, universities, and industry; the other initiative focuses on identifying and publicizing best practices that already exist. The first initiative calls for written policies and procedures for achieving parity between women and men in science, a standard report card, job descriptions and evaluation tools that highlight requirements related to mentoring and other activities that help advance women, and public certificates for “women-friendly” organizations. The second initiative involves defining criteria for selecting best practices, surveying selected groups, and compiling information about winning programs on a highly sophisticated website. Each initiative is listed below.

**Initiative 10:** Design best practices for the advancement of women

**Initiative 11:** Establish a best practices clearinghouse
Initiative 10: Design best practices for the advancement of women

Aim
To design organizational best practices for the advancement of women in diverse organizations (government, universities, and societies) through incentives, rewards, and accountability

Evaluation (indicators of success)
- Statistics show parity between women and men in science.
- Policies and procedures are in place to enable advancement.
- Job descriptions for leaders include requirements to advance women.
- Institutional climate surveys show differences in attitudes and behaviors of leaders.
- Leaders are held accountable (e.g., rewards, resources, advancement are linked to achieving goals).

Method
Description of Initiative: This initiative will involve designing, implementing, and publicizing these elements:

- Database and report card (pre, baseline)
- Climate survey of attitudes and behaviors (pre, baseline) (quantitative and qualitative)
- Upward or 360-degree survey of leaders, managers, and upward
  - Covering data, attitudes, and behaviors
  - Incorporating requirements in leaders’ job descriptions, including
    (1) Elements required for advancement of women, such as mentoring and coaching, advancement planning, and advancement results
    (2) Self development and training in necessary skills
    (3) Rewards and lack of rewards linked directly to achieving goals
      - Rewards: resources, release time, bonuses, merit awards, promotions
Lack of rewards: withheld benefits, institutional and federal grants, and accreditation; censure list

- Public certification and awards to organizations designated as “women friendly”

Action Steps:

- Contact Shelia Wellington, Catalyst, about joint effort (ORWH or academia or AWIS coalition)
- Develop coalition to formulate the plan
- Develop nationwide baseline database, making data into “apples and apples”

Presenter  Page Morahan, Ph.D.
Director, National Center of Leadership in Academic Medicine
MCP Hahnemann University

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Donna Dean, Ph.D., Panel Member
Many women are leaving to start their own corporations.

Participant
We worked to establish a coalition and developed a leadership circle, with a corporate sponsor for a workshop. We hope to do this on a yearly basis. I think industry has recognized women as the future market.

I take exception to industry being better. It’s hard to get data, which may be deceiving.

Participant

Industry may be ahead on this issue because of manpower concerns for the future, but the glass ceiling is still in place. Is it at least cracking?

Carlyle Storm, Ph.D.
Panel Member
Initiative 11: Establish a best practices clearinghouse

Aim To disseminate best practices for increasing access, retention, and advancement of women in science, engineering, and math careers

Evaluation (indicators of success)
- Large number of hits on website
- Positive website feedback

Method

Description of Initiative: This initiative will create an interactive website to serve as a clearinghouse for best practices that increase women’s access to careers, retention, and advancement in science.

Action Steps:
- Secure funding from government and industry partners
- Identify target groups
- Develop survey instrument to define and identify best practices
- Distribute instrument to identified target groups
- Evaluate responses for meeting criteria for best practice
- Compile best practices resource list and post on sophisticated and searchable website (available to everyone worldwide, enabling them to find out what we are doing right with regard to improving women’s access to careers in science)

Who: Core group to write proposal and underwrite costs

When: Proposal completed by July 1, 2000

We hope to have a very sophisticated and interactive website with links to other sites.

Mary Ann Stepp, Ph.D.
Presenter
Excellent plan! You might make some kind of cooperative arrangement with an organization called Catalyst, in New York. It works to advance women in business and has put out several books and reports.

Madeleine Jacobs
Panel Member

**Presenter**  Mary Ann Stepp, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, The George Washington University
Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology
Association of Anatomists
and Women in Cell Biology Committee

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**
(statements are paraphrased)

*Zena Werb, Ph.D., Panel Member*
You could include best practices for education (K through 12 and the undergraduate world) for getting women into science, as well as for faculty and postdoctoral levels.

*Mary Ann Stepp, Ph.D., Presenter*
We thought that the first step was to do this at the post-graduate level and then move into K through 12.

*Donna Dean, Ph.D., Panel Member*
WEPAN, Women Engineering Program Advocacy Network, has links to Women in Computing, which has its own website. It’s a good connection for you.

*Participant*
The Association for Computing Machinery has a Women’s Committee with a NSF grant to compile information on what is being done. The CRA Women’s Committee is also doing something focused on research universities. Both have good websites. It’s a bit like what you are describing; we should keep in touch.
Jong-on Hahn, Ph.D., Participant
The Committee on Women in Science and Engineering, under the auspices of the National Academy of Sciences Council, is going to publish a best practices guide to women in science and engineering in academia.

In the business world, many organizations and businesses are required to subscribe to best practices. As something of this nature matures, you could have incentives for university departments to comply by tying their compliance to certification or federal grants.

Carlyle Storm, Ph.D.
Panel Member

Make sure that all societies have immediate linkage to your website, and that you have links to existing websites. You’ll need them all to get rolling. Something like this is so worthwhile.

Alfred Johnson, Ph.D.
Panel Member
Category 4
Oversight, Tracking, and Accountability

The three initiatives in this category provide formal mechanisms for overseeing and documenting progress in advancing women’s careers in science. All three initiatives involve the formation of a working group, committee and/or consortium to provide advice and accountability, as well as quantitative information on efforts to promote equity between women and men in science, engineering, and technology. These groups are responsible, in this category, for developing and managing a database of women scientists, and establishing a “report card” — which also includes a database — that tracks the number of women in leadership positions, career progression statistics, family-friendly policies, and resources within participating institutions and organizations. Success will initially be measured by the number of societies actively participating in the oversight groups and their projects, and by the number of institutions and organizations complying with policies established to advance women’s careers in science. Over time, success will be evident in the equity that exists between women and men in scientific careers. These oversight groups may well offer the structure necessary to monitor progress on other initiatives. Each initiative is listed below.

**Initiative 12:** Create an umbrella organization of professional societies

**Initiative 13:** Develop a database of women scientists

**Initiative 14:** Establish a report card on the status of women in science and engineering
Initiative 12: Create an umbrella organization of professional societies to facilitate networking and exchange of information and ideas

**Aim**
To move from awareness to action in addressing issues relating to women in science, engineering, and technology (SET)

**Evaluation**
- A committee is established with a good cross-sectional representation of SET groups, with commitment of resources and a timetable of initiatives.
  - A committee is established.
  - Financial resources are committed.
  - Membership composition is representative.
  - A significant number of projects and initiatives exist.
    - (1) List of action items and timeline
    - (2) Progress documented in reports, newsletters, etc.
  - There is resulting growth in interest and involvement.
    - (1) Greater input from science community (“assessment”)
    - (2) High status of committee within the SET community (credibility, visibility)
    - (3) Open channels of communication and information dissemination

**Method**

**Description of Initiative:** This initiative will establish an Organizing Committee and a Steering Committee to oversee the creation of an umbrella organization of societies.

**Action Steps:**
- Identify and recruit prominent and credible spokesperson(s) to spearhead organization
- Create mechanism to establish Steering Committee
- Disseminate idea to participating AXXS ’99 societies
- Recruit and form Organizing Committee [by June 2000]
- Establish Steering Committee [by January 2001]
  - Example of Steering Committee composition: AAAS, AWIS, ORWH, WEPAN, SFN, Cell Bio, APA, IEEE, SOT, ACS, WICR, AWMA, FASEB, AMS, and ACM
  - Responsibilities:
    (1) Develop mission statement and timetable (avoid duplication; don’t reinvent the wheel; exchange ideas)
    (2) Determine where umbrella organization will reside
    (3) Identify tasks and resources

**Presenter** Andrea M. Zardetto-Smith, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor, Women in Neuroscience and Society of Neuroscience

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**
(statements are paraphrased)

*Alfred Johnson, Ph.D., Panel Member*
Who’s on the Steering Committee?

*Andrea Zardetto-Smith, Ph.D., Presenter*
The organizing committee would discuss.

*Participant*
Why are you taking so long?

*Andrea Zardetto-Smith, Ph.D., Presenter*
Because of the internal politics of societies and the time it will take to report back from this workshop, get on the societies’ agendas, and clear time for people willing to be involved.

*Donna Dean, Ph.D., Panel Member*
This might be one area where a group of societies is ready to move ahead to begin, with other societies coming on board later.
Participant
The Federation of Organizations for Professional Women already exists. It had some problems, which are important to look at to make this a strong and viable organization for women. Also, I think it won’t take so long. There are a number of organizations that are ready to begin. It will depend on the size of the organization, whether it is run by volunteers, has a central office, etc.

This initiative should supersede politics of societies and be done more quickly. I urge you to keep the momentum going.

Participant
Initiative 13: Develop a database of women scientists

Aim  To create and publicize a web-based database of women scientists

Evaluation

Short term
- Large number of participating societies
- Large number of entries and participants in database
- Positive feedback from users and large number of hits to site
- Good marketing statistics (brochures distributed, “media mentions”)

Long term
- Greater number of women on editorial boards
- Greater number of women receiving awards
- Greater number of women speakers (by percent)
- Positive feedback from societies (high number of requests to use site)
- Greater number of women in society power positions
- Positive feedback from listees (increased invitation rate)
- Greater number of women chairs, full professors, etc.

Method

Description of Initiative: This initiative will develop an online list of women scientists with links to societies (voluntary submission and universal access) to make names available for recruitment, speakers, advisory committees, editorial boards, and collaborative leadership positions.

Action Steps:
- Publicize the need and value of this initiative (editorial)
  - Communicate with societies regarding existing structures and establish links and buy-in
Establish representative advisory group and meet [by May 2000]
- Submit proposal to societies
- Establish managing and working groups (with society collaboration)
- Gain agreement for participation by societies
- Write a grant and identify funding sources
- Establish input fields
- Ensure that each society is responsible for updating list
- Hire point person

Develop implementation plan — time line and proposal, website architecture, and budget [by January 2001]
- Establish website (test and evaluate)

Launch site [by July 2001]

Presenter  Paula B. Kavathas
Chair, American Association of Immunologists
Committee on the Status of Women

Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants
(statements are paraphrased)

Participant
I echo the need for a database and see societies following NIH’s example to attend a certain number of meetings.

Participant
There have been lists of women before, but people not familiar with the names will not invite them. They don’t know if they’re good speakers. I’m not sure you can expect hits except for the people already known.

Paula Kavathas, Presenter
Some may not be looking for a good speaker, but rather for someone who has published something interesting. We could also match people to journals and papers.
Participant
One way to collect data is from societies using a common template and setting criteria for validation.

Participant
Physicians represent a large segment of medical communities and none have a woman president. The best resources for a speakers list are the societies. You could create a template and merge lists.

Participant
A list is not enough. It has to be organized by subject. You have to make it effective, so people want to use it.

Many times I’ve wished for a single web portal for identifying women and minorities when a request is made of me. This would be valuable to have for any of us. We could get speakers from across all fields of science.

Donna Dean, Ph.D.
Panel Member

AWIS has a database that requires references, someone who knows the work of listee. You also have to distinguish those who are willing to be contacted for jobs and you need to have consistency of information, standardized across disciplines and activities.

Catherine Jay Didion
Panel Member
Initiative 14: Establish a report card on the status of women in science and engineering

Aim  
To use a “carrot and stick” approach to encourage long-term change toward institutional equity

Evaluation

Short term
- Higher percentage of society participation
- Higher percentage institutional compliance
- Positive media reports

Long term
- Positive responses from institutions to questionnaires requesting 5-year evaluation of utility of database and report

Method

Description of Initiative: This initiative will compile and publicize information from institutions and departments in top 100 research universities to create a report card that can provide national visibility and ranking.

Action Steps:
- Request or recommend that AAAS establish a consortium of professional societies to
  - develop a questionnaire and mechanisms for gathering data
  - identify institutions and departments for inclusion in database
  - develop a database
- Identify key data on numbers and percentages regarding
  - structural demographics — the number of women in senior leadership, decisionmaking, academia, and administrative positions
  - career progress (rank, salary, time to tenure or professor)
  - resources (space, students, discretionary, institutional funding)
other (e.g., such as flexible tenure, family-friendly policies, daycare)

- Collect and compile data on annual basis in web-based “report card” with subsequent professional and lay dissemination
- Evaluate and track in 5-year intervals

**Presenter** Merry Bullock  
Associate Executive Director, American Psychological Association, Science Directorate

**Question, Comments, and Suggestions from Panel and Participants**  
(statements are paraphrased)

**Zena Werb, Ph.D., Panel Member**  
You’ve got to put thought behind this and determine the target group that would have the greatest impact.

**Participant**  
How would you get data? How would you make it stick, especially regarding salary?

**Merry Bullock, Presenter**  
We could ask for salary ranges or ask departments to rank themselves within national norms.

**Participant**  
Be sure to consider bonuses, not just salaries. Being a woman has been shown to be a negative predictor of salary.

*This would look at the assumptions behind what makes an institution a good one. It would help prospective students consider the ‘success’ rate of their predecessors.*

Catherine Jay Didion  
Panel Member
This is one of the best suggestions. It has teeth. If data is collected in a way that includes mentorship, it could help women decide where to get degrees and faculty positions. It needs to be part of the ranking of universities.

Zena Werb, Ph.D.
Panel Member

If we do this, we should offer tools and assistance to help institutions improve their scores, so that the program provides both incentive and assistance.

Participant
Next Steps

This is a historic day. I’d like to congratulate Dr. Pinn and all of you for bringing attention to an important subject. It’s clear that we are nonprofit organizations with limited funds. We require grants. We need resources to make this work.

Participant

We have a number of parallel activities that might fit with several initiatives presented so far, and we may already have something underway on a smaller scale, or have mechanisms to help with implementing these ideas. So let me give a word of encouragement: we helped bring you all together, we’re not going to abandon your recommendations.

Vivian W. Pinn, M.D.
Director, Office of Research on Women’s Health

The next major step toward implementing the 14 initiatives developed at AXXS ’99 is to bring together a small implementation group, at the National Institutes of Health in the spring or early summer of 2000, to clarify, synthesize, and expand initiative aims, indicators of success, and action steps; and to develop integrated implementation plans based on agreed-upon priorities.

To accomplish their task, this newly formed AXXS ’99 Implementation Committee will explore the links between the categories of initiatives developed at AXXS ’99 and make sure that each implementation plan draws from, and contributes to, other related plans as required. Some possible links are shown in Table 4. Additional overlapping steps may surface at the meeting.
### Table 4: Possible Links between 14 Proposed Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership, Visibility, and Recognition</th>
<th>Mentoring and Networking</th>
<th>Best Practices</th>
<th>Oversight, Tracking, and Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadership, Visibility, and Recognition</td>
<td>Role models, Database, Website, Networks, Workshops and training</td>
<td>Website, Success stories, Awards</td>
<td>Tracking awards, Tracking school programs, Online lists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring and Networking</td>
<td>Role models, Database, Website, Networks, Workshops and training</td>
<td>Database, Website, Incentives (carrots, sticks), Publicity</td>
<td>Incentives, Mandatory requirements, Online lists, Institutional ranking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Practices</td>
<td>Website, Success stories, Awards</td>
<td>Database, Website, Incentives (carrots, sticks), Publicity</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Report card, Incentives, Database, Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight, Tracking, and Accountability</td>
<td>Tracking awards, Tracking school programs, Online lists</td>
<td>Incentives, Mandatory requirements, Online lists, Institutional ranking</td>
<td>Baseline survey, Report card, Incentives, Database, Website</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Code: X
Susan Persons, M.A.
Public Policy Liaison Officer
Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research
National Institutes of Health
1 Center Drive
Building 1, Room 326
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 402-3930
Fax (301) 480-7555
Email personss@od.nih.gov
Code: PC–X

Harriette L. Phelps
(Professor) President
Federation of Organizations for Professional Women
7822 Hanover Parkway, #303
Greenbelt, MD 20770
Phone (301) 441-2207
Fax (301) 345-6017
Email hphelps@hers.com
Code: A

Vivian W. Pinn, M.D.
Director
Office of Research on Women’s Health
Associate Director, Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
1 Center Drive, Room 201
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 402-1770
Fax (301) 402-1798
Email pinnv@od.nih.gov
Code: A–PS

Frances A. Pitlick, Ph.D.
Executive Officer
American Society for Investigative Pathology
9650 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20814-3993
Phone (301) 530-7130
Fax (301) 571-1879
Email fpitlick@pathd.fascb.org
Code: A

Judith Podskalny, Ph.D.
Program Director
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Building 45, Room 6AN12E
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 594-8876
Fax (301) 480-8300
Email jp53s@nih.gov
Code: X

Ellyn J. Pollack, M.A., APR
Information Officer
Office of Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
1 Center Drive, Room 201
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 402-1770
Fax (301) 402-1798
Email ep8k@nih.gov
Code: A

Indra Poola, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Howard University College of Medicine
Pharmacology Department
520 West Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20059
Phone (202) 806-5554
Fax (202) 806-5553
Code: A

Rosalyn C. Richman, MA
Co-director, ELAM Program
Hedwig van Amerigen ELAM Program
MCP Hahnemann University
The Gatehouse
330 Henry Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19129-1191
Phone (215) 842-6909
Fax (215) 842-1041
Email rosalyn.richman@drexel.edu
Code: A
Alberto L. Rivera-Rentas, Ph.D.
Acting Director
Undergraduate Scholarship Program
Office of Loan Repayment
National Institutes of Health
2 Center Drive
Bethesda, MD 20892-0230
Phone (301) 462-6425
Fax (301) 480-7430
Email rivera-rentas@nih.gov
Code: A

David Robinson
Director, Vascular Research
National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
2 Rockledge Drive, Suite 10193
MSC 7956
Bethesda, MD 20892-7956
Phone (301) 435-0545
Fax (301) 480-2849
Email drw@cu.nih.gov
Code: X

Adele Roman, MSN
Women & Gender Research Deputy Coordinator
National Institute on Drug Abuse
National Institutes of Health
6001 Executive Boulevard
Room 4282 MSC 9555
Bethesda, MD 20892-9555
Phone (301) 435-1320
Fax (301) 594-6043
Email ar96g@nih.gov
Code: A

Ester Rozenblum, Ph.D.
National Human Genome Research Institute
National Institutes of Health
9000 Rockville Pike
Building 49, Room 4C-20
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 402-2017
Fax (301) 402-3241
Email erozenbl@nhgri.nih.gov
Code: A

Joyce Rudick
Director, Programs and Management
Office of Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
9000 Rockville Pike
Building 1, Room 201
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 402-1770
Fax (301) 402-1798
Email rudickj@od.nih.gov
Code: PC–X

Brenda M. Ryals, Ph.D.
Professor
James Madison University
Auditory Research Lab MSC 6501
Harrisonburg, VA 22807
Phone (540) 568-3871
Fax (540) 568-7930
Email ryalsbm@cisat.jmu.edu
Code: X

Anne P. Sassaman, Ph.D.
Director, DERT
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health
EC-20, PO Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Phone (919) 541-7723
Fax (919) 541-2843
Email sassaman@niehs.nih.gov
Code: A–PC–PS

Karla R. Saunders
Legislative Associate
American Chemical Society
1155 – 16th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Phone (202) 872-6241
Fax (202) 872-6206
Email krs93@acs.org
Code: A–WP
Mary Jean Sawey  
President  
Women in Cancer Research  
Department of Radiation Oncology  
Temple University Medical School  
Philadelphia, PA 19140  
Phone (215) 707-8184  
Email mjsawey@um.temple.edu  
Code: A

Suzanne Scarlata  
Associate Professor, Biophysical Society  
Department of Physiology  
SUNY Stony Brook  
Stony Brook, NY 11794-8661  
Phone (631) 444-3071  
Fax (631) 444-3432  
Email suzanne@physiobgy.pnb.sunysb.edu  
Code: X

Randy W. Schekman, Ph.D.  
President, The American Society for Cell Biology  
Professor & Chair of Department of Molecular and Cell Biology  
Investigator, Howard Hughes Medical Institute  
9650 Rockville Pike  
Bethesda, MD 20814  
Code: A–PS

Joan P. Schwartz, Ph.D.  
Assistant Director  
Office of Intramural Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Building 1, Room 135  
Bethesda, MD 20892-0151  
Phone (301) 496-1248  
Fax (301) 402-0027  
Email jps@helix.nih.gov  
Code: A–PC

Justina Schwemberger  
Research Training Officer  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
6700-B Rockledge Drive  
Room 2131  
Bethesda, MD 20892  
Phone (301) 496-9978  
Fax (301) 496-8729  
Email js74g@nih.gov  
Code: A

Rosalyn P. Scott, M.D., MSHA  
Associate Professor  
Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science  
4265 Marina City Drive, #902  
Marina del Rey, CA 90292  
Phone (310) 827-7437  
Fax (310) 827-8821  
Email rozscott@ix.netcom.com  
Code: A–WP

Magda Sgagias, Ph.D.  
Medicine Branch  
National Cancer Institute  
National Institutes of Health  
Building 10, Room 12C108  
Bethesda, MD 20892  
Phone (301) 402-1382  
Fax (301) 402-0172  
Email musgagia@box-ui.nih.gov  
Code: A

W. Sue Shafer, Ph.D.  
Assistant Vice Chancellor  
Research Administration  
University of California, San Francisco  
3333 California Street  
Suite 320  
San Francisco, CA 94118  
Phone (415) 502-4032  
Fax (415) 476-9926  
Email Sshafer@itsa.ucsf.edu  
Code: A–PC–PS
Ulonda B. Shamwell, M.S.W.
Associate Administrator for Women’s Services
Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services
Administration
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 13-99
Rockville, MD 20857
Phone (301) 443-5184
Fax (301) 443-8964
Email ushawel@samhsa.gov
Code: X

Joan Rankin Shapiro
Director, Neuro-Oncology
Barrow Neurological Association
350 West Thomas Road
Phoenix, AZ 85013
Phone (602) 406-3499
Fax (602) 406-7161
Email jshapiro@chw.edu
Code: A

Katherine M. Sharkey
Trainee Representative, SRS
Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke’s Medical Center
1653 West Congress Parkway
Chicago, IL 60612
Phone (312) 942-8328
Fax (312) 942-6050
Email ksharkey@rush.edu
Code: A

Bonney Sheahan
Program Director
National Science Foundation
4201 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22230
Phone (703) 306-1733
Fax (703) 306-0485
Email bsheahan@nsf.gov
Code: A

Omega Logan Silva, M.D.
President-Elect
American Medical Women’s Association
354 North Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20024
Phone (202) 554-9428
Fax (202) 484-0283
Email osilva@ehp.com
Code: A

Barbara Simons, Ph.D.
President
Association for Computing Machinery
770 Homer Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94301
Phone (650) 328-8730
Fax (650) 617-3709
Email simons@acm.org
Code: A

Jeanne Sinkford, D.D.S., Ph.D.
American Association of Dental Schools
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
Phone (202) 667-9433
Code: PC–X

Shirel Smith
Program Coordinator
National Research Council
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20076
Phone (202) 334-3339
Fax (202) 334-2753
Email srsmith@nas.edu
Code: A

Christine G. Spain, MA
Director, Research, Planning, and Special Projects
President’s Council on Physical Fitness and Sports
DHHS/OS/OPHS
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Room 738-H
Washington, D.C. 20201-0004
Phone (202) 690-5148
Fax (202) 690-5211
Email cspain@osophs.dhhs.gov
Code: X

Elaine Spector, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Pediatrics
University of Colorado, School of Medicine
Box C233
4200 East Ninth Avenue
Denver, CO 80262
Phone (303) 315-8415
Fax (303) 315-0349
Email elaine.spector@uchsc.edu
Code: A–WP
Jane E. Spencer
Program Analyst
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive
and Kidney Diseases
National Institutes of Health
31 Center Drive MSC 2560
Building 31, Room 9A07
Bethesda, MD 20892-2560
Phone (301) 496-6623
Fax (301) 480-6741
Email spencerj@extra.niddk.nih.gov
Code: A

Mary Ann Stepp, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
The George Washington University
Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology
2300 I Street, N.W.
Ross Hall 226C
Washington, D.C. 20026
Phone (202) 994-0557
Fax (202) 994-8885
Code: A–WP

Jennifer P. Stevens
Legislative Assistant
American Academy of Pediatrics
601 – 13th Street, Suite 400N
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone (202) 347-8600
Fax (202) 393-6137
Email jsstevens@aap.org
Code: A

Carlyle Storm, Ph.D.
Director
Gordon Research Conferences
P.O. Box 984
West Kingston, RI 02892
Phone (401) 783-4011
Fax (401) 783-7644
Email cbstorm@grcmail.grc.uri.edu
Code: A–PP

Elaine Strass
Executive Director
American Society of Human Genetics
9650 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20814
Phone (301) 571-1826
Fax (301) 530-7079
Email estrass@genetics.faseb.org
Code: A

Christine Swanson, Ph.D.
Nutritionist
National Institutes of Health
31 Center Drive, 1B29
Bethesda, MD 20892-2086
Phone (301) 435-2920
Fax (301) 480-1845
Email swansonc@od.nih.gov
Code: A

Viqar Syed, Ph.D.
Research Instructor
Department of Surgery
University of Massachusetts Medical School
55 Lake Avenue North
Worcester, MA 01655
Phone (508) 856-8109
Fax (508) 856-8699
Email viqar.syed@umassmed.edu
Code: X

Christina Teng
Head, Gene Regulation Group
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health
P.O. Box 12233 MDE201
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Phone (919) 541-0344
Fax (919) 541-0696
Email teng@niehs.nih.gov
Code: A
Claudia L. Thompson, Ph.D.
Scientific Program Administrator
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
National Institutes of Health
EC-21
P.O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Phone (919) 541-4638
Fax (919) 316-4606
Email thompso1@niehs.nih.gov
Code: A

Thea Tlsty, Ph.D., RN
Professor of Pathology
University of California–San Francisco
San Francisco, CA 94143
Phone (415) 502-6115
Fax 415) 502-6163
Email ttlsty@itsa.ucsf.edu
Code: PC–X

Leana M. Topper
Graduate Student
1300 JPA
Box 439 HSC
Charlottesville, VA 22908
Phone (804) 984-1814
Fax (804) 982-3912
Email lmt2y@virginia.edu
Code: A–PC

Elizabeth L. Travis, Ph.D.
Professor
Director, Women Faculty Initiatives
University of Texas
M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
1515 Holcombe Boulevard
P.O. Box 066
Houston, TX 77030-4095
Phone (713) 792-3424
Fax (713) 794-5369
Email etravis@mdanderson.org
Code: X

Barbara K. Vonderhaar, Ph.D.
Section Chief
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Building 10, Room 5B47
Bethesda, MD 20892-1402
Phone (301) 496-3625
Fax (301) 402-0711
Email barbarav@helix.nih.gov
Code: A–PC

Wanda E. Ward, M.D.
Deputy Assistant Director
Director for Social Behavior & Economic Science
National Science Foundation
4201 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22230
Phone (703) 306-1741
Email weward@nsf.gov
Code: A

Joy Ware, Ph.D.
Professor
Department of Pathology
Virginia Commonwealth University
P.O. Box 980-662
Richmond, VA 23298
Phone (804) 828-5092
Fax (804) 828-9749
Email jware@mail.vcu.edu

Elise M. Weerts, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Johns Hopkins University
BBRC, 5510 Nathan Shock Drive
Suite 3000
Baltimore, MD 21224
Phone (410) 550-2781
Fax (410) 550-2780
Email eweerts@mail.jhmi.edu
Code: A
Janna P. Wehrle, Ph.D.
Program Director
National Institute of General Medical Sciences
National Institutes of Health
45 Center Drive
Room 2AS.19K
Bethesda, MD 20892-6200
Phone (301) 594-5950
Fax (301) 480-2004
Email wehrlej@nigms.nih.gov
Code: A–WS

Zena Werb, Ph.D.
Professor of Anatomy
University of California–San Francisco
Department of Anatomy
Box 0452
513 Parnassus Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94143-0452
Phone (415) 476-4622
Fax (415) 476-4565
Email zena@itsa.ucsf.edu
Code: A–PP

Barbara K. Wingrove, MPH
Health Scientist Administrator
National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
National Institutes of Health
6100 Executive Boulevard MSC 7510
Room 7B07
Bethesda, MD 20892-7510
Phone (301) 594-1302
Fax (301) 480-0649
Email wingrove@nih.gov
Code: A

Andrea M. Zardetto-Smith, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Women in Neuroscience
Society of Neuroscience
c/o Creighton University
Department of Physical Therapy
2500 California
Omaha, NE 68178
Phone (402) 280-5946
Fax (402) 280-5692
Email amzarsmi@creighton.edu
Code: A–WP

Marion Zatz, Ph.D.
Health Scientist Administrator
National Institute of General Medical Sciences
National Institutes of Health
45 Center Drive MSC 6200
Bethesda, MD 20892
Phone (301) 594-0943
Fax (301) 480-2228
Email zatzm@NIGMS.NIH.GOV
Code: A–WS
Appendix B

Summary of Poster Board Presentations

Sixteen organizations, in addition to the Office of Research on Women’s Health, National Institutes of Health, exhibited posters at AXXS ’99. Individual presentations covered a multitude of topics, ranging from general information and handouts to specific and informative displays. Most presenting societies, commissions, and committees posted their mission, history, membership demographics, programs and/or women’s committees activities, publications, and/or awards and initiatives. Many described their mentoring programs, offered web sites of interest to scientists, and reported on the demographics of women within a given field of science and/or engineering. The posters were on display throughout the meeting, allowing for a great deal of interaction and information exchange between individuals and presenting organizations.

The following associations, societies, commissions, and committees exhibited poster boards:

- American Association for Cancer Research
  Women in Cancer Research
- American Association of Immunologists
  Committee on the Status of Women
- American Psychological Association
- American Society for Cell Biology
  Women in Cell Biology
- Association for Computing Machinery
  Women in Computing
- Association for Women in Science
- Association of American Medical Colleges
- Association of Women Surgeons
- Commission for the Advancement of Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities in Science, Engineering, and Technology Development
- Committee on the Advancement of Women Chemists
- Executive Leadership in Academic Medicine
- Federation of Organizations for Professional Women
- Office of Research on Women’s Health
- Science’s Next Wave, GrantsNet
- Sleep Research Society
- Women in Engineering Programs and Advocates Network
- Women in Neuroscience

Websites and contact information for the organizations presenting posters at AXXS follows.

Websites and Contact Information for Poster Board Presenters

American Association for Cancer Research
Women in Cancer Research
Contact: AACR Office
Public Ledger Building, Suite 826
150 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Phone (215) 440-9300
Fax (215) 351-9165
Email meetings@aacr.org
Website http://www.aacr.org

American Association of Immunologists
Committee on the Status of Women
Contact: AAI Office
Website http://www.aai.org
American Psychological Association  
Contact: Gwendolyn Puryear Keita  
Women’s Program Office  
750 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Phone (202) 336-6044  
Fax (202) 336-6117  
Email gkeita@apa.org  
Website http://www.apa.org/pi/wpo

American Society for Cell Biology  
Women in Cell Biology  
Contact: Sandra Masur  
Associate Professor of Ophthalmology  
Mount Sinai School of Medicine  
Email masur@inka.mssn.edu

American Society for Microbiology  
Women in Microbiology  
Contact: Caroline M. Kane  
Adjunct Associate Professor  
Molecular and Cell Biology  
University of California  
Email kanecm@uclink4.berkely.edu

Association for Computing Machinery  
Women in Computing  
Website http://www.acm.org/  
Website http://www.acm.org/women/  
(Committee on Women in Computing)

Association for Women in Science  
Contact: Association for Women in Science  
1200 New York Avenue  
Suite 650  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Phone (202) 326-8940  
Fax (202) 326-8960  
Email awis@awis.org  
Website http://www.awis.org

Association of American Medical Colleges  
Contact: Valarie Clark  
Association Director  
Women in Medicine/Faculty Affairs  
Association of American Medical Colleges  
2450 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Phone (202) 828-0586  
Fax (202) 828-1125  
Email vclark@aamc.org  
Website http://www.aamc.org

Association of Women Surgeons  
Contact: Association of Women Surgeons  
414 Plaza Drive, Suite 209  
Westmont, IL 60559  
Phone (630) 655-0392  
Fax (630) 655-0391  
Email info@womensurgeons.org  
Email aws@asihq.com

Commission for the Advancement of Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities in Science, Engineering, and Technology Development (CAWMSET)  
Contact: CAWMSET  
4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1280  
Arlington, VA 22230  
Phone (703) 306-0595  
Fax (703) 306-1082  
Email CAWMSET-INFO@nsf.gov  
Website http://www.nsf.gov/od/cawmset/start.htm

Committee on the Advancement of Women Chemists (COACh)  
Contact: Jeanne Pemberton  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
Email pembertn@u.arizona.edu  
Contact: Department of Chemistry  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403  
Email richmond@oregon.uoregon.edu

Executive Leadership in Academic Medicine (ELAM)  
Contact: Rosalyn Richman  
Co-Director, ELAM Program  
Deputy Director, National Center of Leadership in Academic Medicine  
MCP Hahnemann University  
3300 Henry Avenue, The Gatehouse  
Philadelphia, PA 19129  
Phone (215) 842-6909  
Fax (215) 842-1041  
Email Rosalyn.Richman@drexel.edu
Federation of Organizations for Professional Women (FOPW)
Contact: FOPW
1825 I Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006
Phone (202) 328-1415
Fax (202) 328-1215 (phone first)
Email fopq@dgs.dgsys.com
Website http://www.fopw.org

Office of Research on Women's Health
Contact: Joyce Rudick, Director of Programs and Management
National Institutes of Health
Building 1, Room 201
9000 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD. 20892-0161
Phone (301) 402-1770
Fax (301) 402-1798
Email RudickJ@od1tm1.od.nih.gov
Website http://www4.od.nih.gov/orwh/

Science’s Next Wave, GrantsNet
Contact: Science’s Next Wave
1200 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Email grantdoctor@aaas.org
Website http://www.nextwave.org
Website http://www.grantsnet.org

Sleep Research Society
Contact: Mary A. Carskadon
President, SRS
E.P. Bradley Hospital
1011 Veterans Memorial Parkway
East Providence, RI 02915
Phone (401) 421-9440
Fax (401) 453-3578
Email mary_carskadon@brown.edu

Women in Engineering Programs and Advocates Network (WEPAN)
Contact: Cathy M. Deno
WEPAN Member Services
1284 CIVL Building, Room G167
West Lafayette, IN 47907
Phone (765) 494-5387
Fax (765) 494-9152
Email wiep@ecn.purdue.edu
Website http://www.wepan.org
Website http://www.engr.washington.edu/wepan

Women in Neuroscience
Contact: Andrea Zardetto-Smith
Assistant Professor, Physical Therapy
School of Pharmacy and Allied Health Professions
2500 California Plaza
Omaha, NE 68178
Phone (402) 280-5946
Fax (402) 280-5692
Email amzarsmi@creighton.edu
Website http://www.beemnet.com/win
(for job postings)
Appendix C

Key Resources

Annotated Bibliography


This pamphlet advises minority college students about steps they can take to prepare for a future career in psychology. The importance of mentorship, networking, and preparation for graduate training are outlined throughout the publication.


This pamphlet provides guidance about many aspects of the graduate school application process. Some of the topics in the guide include information about selecting a graduate program, the application process, and examinations required for graduate study of psychology. The pamphlet also contains advice about the factors that contribute to successful and unsuccessful applications.


This pamphlet gives advice to minority high school students about seeking careers in psychology. The pamphlet explains the duties and educational background necessary for a career in psychology. Contributions of ethnic minorities to the field of psychology are also highlighted.


This brochure gives guidance to psychology graduate and professional training programs in the development of minority recruitment and retention strategies. The brochure also contains advice for academic institutions about developing recruitment packets to attract ethnic minorities to psychology programs.


This report analyzes factors related to the increased representation of women and decreased representation of men in the field of psychology. More access to educational and employment opportunities has led to a significant increase in the number of women who are choosing to enter the field of psychology. The report cautions that female dominance in the field of psychology could potentially lead to lower salaries and prestige based on historical trends in other professions.

This pamphlet helps new female and minority Ph.D.'s to find jobs and gain career advancement. The pamphlet discusses strategies for maximizing chances for promotion and tenure, as well as strategies for coping with negative tenure outcomes. In addition, the pamphlet gives advice to women and minorities about how to functionally deal with adversity during their careers.


The Committee explored barriers to recruitment and retention of ethnic minorities in the field of psychology. The report outlines the American Psychological Association’s 5-year plan for increasing minority recruitment and retention by promoting multicultural education, and increasing ethnic minority faculty and student recruitment and retention. In addition, the APA aims to provide national leadership for diversity education in science and the APA plans to promote data collection, research, and evaluation in the areas of minority recruitment and retention.


This pamphlet is a biannual assessment of the status of women's participation in APA governance, in the journal publication process, program consultation and accreditation, and the governance structure of the APA. The pamphlet provides demographic information about women in the APA and their participation in APA divisions and state and regional psychological associations.


The “Women in Cell Biology” column, written by members of the Women in Cell Biology Committee, covers a variety of issues related to career development and advancement of women scientists. Topics include: transition between academia and industry, the tenure process, sexual harassment, and skill development.


The Education Committee of the American Society for Cell Biology conducted a Member Career Survey. The comprehensive survey of biomedical scientists and trainees compares real and perceived career prospects, measuring success by several objective parameters and satisfaction qualitatively across cohorts. The study found that during the past 20 years it has become increasingly difficult for scientists to complete Ph.D.'s and obtain full-time employment.


Despite the fact that salary disparities between male and female academicians have decreased, the differences are still significant. Although female participation in scientific careers is increasing, the number of women in high-paying positions is still far less than that of men. The paper considers several factors that contribute to continuing disparities between male and female scientists.

This paper discusses mentoring for African-American and international black women who are graduate or undergraduate students. There are issues related to racial identity that may have an effect on the relationships between mentors and mentees. The article provides suggestions for improving the mentoring process for international and African-American women students, including broadening the image of mentor to include Caucasians.


The New York Academy of Sciences held a conference to discuss major issues facing women scientists and engineers. The conference emphasized the importance of mentoring and networking, as well as “risk taking” and “trade offs” in science and engineering careers. The status of women scientists working in private industry and government is better than that of women working in academia. However, in private industry, there are few women scientists in top leadership positions.


Catalyst, a nonprofit organization that works for the advancement of women into leadership positions, conducted a study that found that corporations do not aggressively recruit women into scientific careers in private industry. The report recommends strategies that the private sector can use to attract, recruit, and retain highly qualified female scientists.


This chapter analyzes the status of underrepresented populations in science, mathematics, and engineering careers (SME) focusing on the educational experiences of these populations, from kindergarten to undergraduate and graduate levels. The chapter discusses factors or variables relating to achievement, performance, and participation in academic disciplines relating to science, medicine, and engineering. In addition, the chapter identifies areas of future research in the education and career advancement of underrepresented populations in SME.


This chapter examines the effectiveness of federal investments in program intervention and curriculum reform in science, medicine, and engineering. The chapter identifies effective interventions at the undergraduate and graduate education levels. The authors also outline a model of science curriculum reform. In addition, the chapter identifies several effective science-teaching methods.


The article discusses the career of Polly Matzinger, a researcher at the National Institutes of Health, who focuses on immunology. Dr. Matzinger has been questioning traditional paradigms with respect to the autoimmune system. The article outlines Dr. Matzinger’s Danger Model hypothesis of the immune system, which challenges fundamental scientific beliefs and faces criticism from traditionalists in the scientific community.

The book discusses the cultural alienation of women in the scientific community. Since society has traditionally discouraged women from entering scientific careers, less is expected from women who are involved in science. As a result of traditional societal bias, women scientists often internalize these lowered expectations, thus limiting their career advancement.


The article evaluates claims that computers democratize communication with respect to males and females in two electronic academic discussion lists during the course of 1 year. The article finds that there is a tendency for a minority of males to dominate discussions. The author argues that this electronic form of communication may act as a form of censorship and limit the level of democratic discourse.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). “A Study on the Status of Women Faculty in Science at MIT Numbers Faculty By Gender and School: October 1998.” Written by Members of the First and Second Committees on Women Faculty in the School of Science. Available on the Internet at http://web.mit.edu/fnl/women/Fnlwomen.htm

A committee comprised of science faculty members studied the status of women faculty in science at MIT. The report found that many tenured female faculty felt “marginalized and excluded from a significant role in their departments.” The report also found that the number of female faculty members has not experienced a significant increase in almost two decades.

The committee recommended strategies for improving the status of female faculty members and increasing recruitment of females and underrepresented minorities.


This book examines the data concerning the production of doctorates in life science and the changes in the kinds of positions that graduates have obtained. It discusses the impact of those changes and suggests ways to deal with the challenges of supply versus demand for life science Ph.D. graduates. The book serves as an information resource for young scientists deciding on career paths.


The Office of Research on Women’s Health and co-sponsors invite institutional career development award applications to support research career development of junior faculty members, who have recently completed clinical training or postdoctoral fellowships, and who are commencing basic, translational, clinical, and/or health services research relevant to women’s health. The goal of this initiative is to promote the performance of research and transfer of findings that will benefit the health of women.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) reannounces a program for administrative supplements to research grants to support individuals with high potential to re-enter an active research career after taking time off to care for children or parents or to attend to other family responsibilities. The aim of these supplements is to encourage fully trained individuals to re-enter research careers within the missions of all the program areas of NIH.


This report is the ninth in a series of Congressionally mandated biennial reports on the status of women and minorities in science and engineering. The report documents both short- and long-term trends in the participation of women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering education and employment.


This article is a critique of Virginia Valian’s book, Why So Slow? The Advancement of Women. The author examines factors that have traditionally hindered women’s career advancement and critiques Valian’s use of a schema approach to explain gender differences in career development and advancement.

Web Sites

American Psychological Association
Women’s Program Office
http://www.apa.org/pi/wpo/

The Women’s Program Office coordinates the American Psychological Association’s efforts to ensure equal opportunities for women psychologists as practitioners, educators, and scientists and to eliminate gender bias in education and training, research, and diagnosis. The web site contains information about academy, awards, conferences, and publications, including “Surviving and Thriving in Academia: A Guide for Women and Ethnic Minorities; Task Force on the Changing Gender Composition of Psychology” and “Women in the American Psychological Association and Women in Academe.” In addition, the Office of Ethnic Minority Affairs web site, http://www.wpw.org/pi/oema, has a number of useful and informative publications with respect to the status of minorities in the field of psychology.

American Society for Cell Biology
http://www.ascb.org/ascb

This web site contains information about products, services, and meetings sponsored by The American Society for Cell Biology (ASCB). The site includes a Women’s Resource Bureau, which is a list of prominent women scientists in a variety of fields who provide information for recommending excellent women scientists to study section membership and committee membership. In addition, members of the Resource Bureau can recommend women scientists who are also excellent and compelling speakers to meeting organizers. The site also contains an online monthly newsletter, which includes a column focused specifically on career advancement of women scientists. In addition, the site includes information about ASCB’s Women in Cell Biology Committee.
Arizona State University, College of Education
The IPEDS Interactive Database
http://129.219.88.111/ipeds/
Accessed November 1, 1999

The web site contains a database developed by Arizona State University, College of Education. The database provides information on faculty salaries for different institutions and breaks out the data by gender.

Association for Women in Science
http://www.awis.org

The Association for Women in Science (AWIS) is an organization dedicated to the achievement of equity and full participation of women in all areas of science and technology. The web site provides information regarding AWIS programs and career development activities, including networking and mentoring opportunities. In addition, the web site contains information regarding scholarships and internship opportunities for women scientists.

The Chronicle of Higher Education
“Career Network” Web Page
http://www.chronicle.com/jobs

The web page contains advice about job hunting and other career-related issues, such as balancing work and family life. The site contains a searchable database of job announcements. In addition, the site provides useful information about the current job market. Also included is a section entitled “Ms. Mentor,” which provides guidance about career advancement specifically for women.

Institute for Women and Technology
http://www.iwt.org

This organization aims to “imagine, design, create, and deploy technologies that have positive impacts on women around the world.” The web site contains information about the organization’s events and activities, research and development projects, and outreach initiatives.

The Math/Science Network
http://www.elstad.com/msn.html

The Math/Science Network (MSN) is a non-profit organization of educators, scientists, mathematicians, parents, community leaders, and government and corporate representatives whose mission is to promote the continuing advancement in mathematics and science education of all people, with particular emphasis on the needs of women and girls. The web site contains information about MSN history, membership, and programs, such as the “Expanding Your Horizons in Science, Mathematics, and Engineering” program.

Office of Research on Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
http://www.od.nih.gov/orwh/

The web site provides information about Office of Research on Women’s Health (ORWH) career development initiatives for women scientists. The site contains information about the inclusion of women in health research. In addition, the site contains a comprehensive women’s health research agenda that is followed by ORWH. Information regarding ORWH committees, meetings, and special events can also be accessed on this web site.

Pathways to Careers for Young Women in Mathematics, Science, and Technology
http://www.moo.tappedin.org:8000/
Accessed November 1, 1999

This web site, developed by SRI International, provides biographies on women scientists and mathematicians. The site gives female students an opportunity to connect with mentors and engage in online discussions.
Sociologists for Women in Society

http://socsci.colorado.edu/sus/index.html#general

Sociologists for Women in Society is an organization of social scientists fostering social equality for women. The web page contains information about awards and scholarships for women's health.

The Women in Biology Internet Launch Page

http://pingu.salk.edu/percent7Eforsburg/bio.html

The site is a starting point for finding information about women biologists. The site provides lists of links to available information on the web regarding women in biomedical sciences, especially information relevant to women who are graduate students, postdocs, or more senior scientists. The topics range from science education, to practical career issues, to the history of women in science.

WISENET

http://www.math.purdue.edu

WISENET was set up to provide a way for women in science and engineering to meet with others having similar interests. There are no guidelines as to topic, presuming that women with some similarities in interest and background, and likely to be in a minority in their place of employment, will find it helpful and useful and supportive to talk with others about many things. The list is unmoderated. To subscribe to WISENET, send email to LISTSERV@uicvm.uic.edu. Leave the subject blank and in the body of the message type: SUBSCRIBE WISENET (your full name and email address).

Women in Science

http://library.advanced.org/20117/

This web site allows visitors to learn about women of the past and present who are involved in science. The site contains an International Registry for Women in Science, which allows women scientists to connect with each other. The site also contains a Mentoring Area, where female scientists can seek out mentors or learn about particular fields of science. In addition, the site provides a Chat Room that allows female scientists to engage in dialogue.