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U.S. Wind Mapping Rationale

Provides accurate information about the wind resource in each state
• For federal and state policy discussion, analysis, and implementation
• To support the 20% wind future
• To facilitate wind prospecting
• To support state, regional, and national wind integration analyses

Validates wind resource maps
• Essential to ensure stakeholder confidence in accuracy of map estimates

Supports the Program’s mission of eliminating barriers to wind energy.
Technical Approach: Wind Mapping & Validation

 Produce maps based on:
• Numerical modeling (AWS Truepower) and adjustments
• Empirical and analytical methods
• 1 km² or finer horizontal-resolution wind resource maps

 Validate preliminary maps using public and private wind measurement data

 Develop and validate maps at 50-m height for 39 states

 Update mapping at 80- & 100-m heights for 48 contiguous states
• Develop wind potential estimates (net of exclusions)

 Publish on DOE’s Wind Powering America (WPA) Web site
50-m wind mapping (2001-2009)

- Culmination of long-term project that began in 2001; jointly funded by states and DOE/WPA
- Comprehensive validation of WPA maps using available measurement data
- Incorporated state maps by others to produce a national wind map (“patchwork quilt” evident in some regions)
- 50-m wind potential estimates to support U.S. 20% wind scenario study
U.S. Wind Mapping and Potential: 80- & 100-m Heights

80- & 100-m mapping and potential estimates (2009-2010)

- New products for contiguous United States and each state developed through a collaborative project with AWS Truepower
- Annual average wind speed maps at 80- and 100-m heights
- NREL validated 19 selected state maps with tower measurement data from 300+ locations
- Wind potential estimates at 80 m and 100 m based on modeled wind turbine capacity factor (CF) data – CF example: 1-MW rated turbine at 30% CF = an average of 300 kW
- Posted new products to WPA Web site (broke all-time DOE/EERE records for most hits)
- Hosted national Webinar to discuss new products and methodology
- Responded to many media and stakeholder requests about the new products
AWS Truepower’s MesoMap Process

Mesoscale Simulations (MASS)
- topography
- roughness
- vegetation greenness
- sea temps
- full equations of motion
- dynamic
- 366 days from 15 years
- 2.5 km resolution

Microscale Simulations (WindMap)
- global reanalysis
- rawinsonde
- surface met data
- mass-conserving
- adjusts for local terrain and roughness
- 200 m resolution

Validation
- comparisons with met data
- adjustments
- error estimates

Geophysical Data
- Met Data
- Wind Maps
- Databases
AWS Truepower’s Estimation of Plant Output

For each point, wind speed distribution created from 12 years of weather simulations (windTrends)

Then gross turbine output calculated for a generic IEC Class 2 turbine power curve, corrected for air density
Development of Wind Potential Estimates

- AWS Truepower produced a national dataset of estimated CF (not adjusted for losses)
  - Spatial resolution of 200 m
  - Heights of 80 m and 100 m
  - Land-based areas only (no offshore), 48 contiguous states

- NREL used the CF data to estimate the land area and wind potential for each state
  - Windy land defined as areas with >= 30% CF, which are generally considered to be suitable for wind energy development
  - Areas with CF >=30% have mean annual wind speeds of about 6.4 m/s and greater
  - Excluded sensitive environmental lands and incompatible land-use areas
  - For wind potential, assumed 5 MW/km² of installed nameplate capacity

Why use CF and not Power Class to produce wind potential estimates?
- CF is representative of power output from large wind turbines.
- Power class is representative of theoretical energy in the wind.
National Exclusion Map

Wind Resource Exclusions

100% Exclusions
- Urban or Airports
- Water
- Sensitive Environmental Lands
- 3 km buffer around urban and environmental lands

50% Exclusions
- Other non-ridgecrest USFS or Dept. of Defense lands
- Non-ridgecrest forest
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Wind Resource Potential at 80 & 100 m - United States

United States (48 Contiguous States) - Wind Resource Potential
Cumulative Rated Capacity vs. Gross Capacity Factor (CF)

The estimates show the potential gigawatts of rated capacity that could be installed on land above a given gross capacity factor (without losses) at 80 m and 100 m heights above ground. Areas greater than 30% at 80 m are generally considered to have suitable wind resource for potential wind development with today's advanced wind turbine technology. AWS Truewind, LLC developed the wind resource data for windNavigator® (http://navigator.awstruewind.com) with a spatial resolution of 200 m. NREL filtered the wind potential estimates to exclude areas unlikely to be developed, such as wilderness areas, parks, urban areas, and water features (see Wind Resource Exclusion Table for more detail).

Capacity factor (CF) example: 1-MW rated turbine at 30% CF = an average of 300 kW
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Windy Land Area &gt;= 30% Gross Capacity Factor at 80m</th>
<th>Wind Energy Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (km²)</td>
<td>Excluded (km²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>4,545.0</td>
<td>2,364.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>4,663.2</td>
<td>2,823.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>26,901.3</td>
<td>20,079.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>95,830.4</td>
<td>18,386.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>281.3</td>
<td>255.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>13,420.4</td>
<td>9,805.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>70,763.6</td>
<td>20,787.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>46,255.2</td>
<td>16,609.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>134,900.1</td>
<td>20,757.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>211,861.3</td>
<td>21,387.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Installed Capacity – assumes 5 MW/km² of available windy land area
Annual Generation – annual wind energy generation that could be produced from the installed capacity
Comparison of State Wind Maps and Potential Graphs

Arizona

Ohio

North Dakota
Wind Potential – Key Findings

U.S. wind potential from areas with CF>=30% is enormous

• At 80 m, almost 10,500 GW capacity
• At 100 m, 12,000 GW capacity

Most of the wind potential comes from windy central regions, but many eastern and western states have significant wind potential

• 35 states with >1,000 MW capacity at 80 m
• 38 states with >1,000 MW capacity at 100 m

For higher CF ranges at 80 m, U.S. wind potential is still very large

• CF>=35%, >8,000 GW and 28 states >1,000 MW
• CF>=40%, >5,500 GW and 19 states >1,000 MW

Top 10 states with CF >=30% at 80 m

• By Installed Capacity: TX, KS, MT, NE, SD, ND, IA, WY, OK, NM
• By Annual Generation: TX, KS, NE, SD, MT, ND, IA, WY, OK, MN

Available at: http://www.windpoweringamerica.gov/wind_maps.asp
Proposed Next Steps

- Develop new 80- and 100-m wind resource maps and potential estimates for Alaska and Hawaii

- Update national maps to include entire United States

- Incorporate new offshore maps as they become available

- Develop maps at 30-m height for small wind turbine industry

- Initiate comprehensive program to validate 80- and 100-m maps

- Review and update exclusion methodology

- Identify key areas for new measurements and updated assessments