Methodology

The primary data used to estimate reserve growth are original in-place volumes and recovery factors. Studies of 68 large oil accumulations and 2 large gas accumulations in the United States provided probability distributions for uncertainty of original (initial) in-place volumes, as well as a probability distribution of oil recovery factors. These distributions were used as analogs for large fields outside the United States. The assessment methodology used to assess reserve growth of U.S. fields is described in Klett and others (2011).

Probability distributions of original in-place volumes for U.S. fields were assigned to reported original in-place volumes for fields outside the United States. Probability distributions of recovery factors for the 68 U.S. oil accumulations were assumed for oil fields outside the United States. A uniform probability distribution of the mean reported recovery factor of nonassociated gas fields outside the United States was assumed for recovery factors in gas fields. The original in-place and recovery factor distributions assigned to a given field were statistically combined (multiplied) by Monte Carlo simulation to provide a probability distribution of estimated reserve growth.

Reserve growth of coproducts was calculated by statistical combination (multiplication) using Monte Carlo simulation of the reserve growth of the primary commodity (oil or gas) with the volumetric coproduct ratios. The growth of associated and dissolved gas, and natural gas liquids in the associated and dissolved gas, was calculated for oil fields. The growth of oil plus natural gas liquids (total liquids) was calculated for nonassociated gas fields. Coproduct reserve growth was not estimated for fields having unreported coproduct volumes.

Reserve growth was estimated for fields having reported original in-place oil or gas of 500 MMBOE or greater. Continuous oil and gas (unconventional) accumulations and tar sands were excluded. The reserve growth estimated in this study accounts for about 80 percent of the potential reserve growth of the world outside the United States; the other 20 percent is in fields with in-place volumes smaller than 500 MMBOE. Large fields were chosen...
for this study because they are most likely to experience reserve growth, and their oil and gas volume data are relatively well reported and accurate. In addition, most of the world’s in-place volumes and reserves, outside the United States, reside in 1,814 fields having reported original in-place oil or gas of 500 MMBOE or greater. Approximately 85 percent of the world’s original in-place oil outside the United States resides in 1,467 oil fields (about 9 percent of the total number of oil fields). Approximately 79 percent of the original in-place nonassociated gas resides in 347 gas fields (about 3 percent of the total number of nonassociated gas fields).

### Assessment Results

Estimates of volumes of technically recoverable, conventional oil and gas resources of the world outside the United States from reserve growth are listed in table 1. No attempt was made to estimate economically recoverable resources. The estimated mean volumes of oil and gas are about 665 BB of crude oil, 1,429 TCF of natural gas (1,043 TCF of associated and dissolved natural gas and 386 TCF of nonassociated natural gas), and 16 BB of natural gas liquids (−1 BB of natural gas liquids in oil accumulations and 17 BB of total liquids in nonassociated gas accumulations). Negative values indicate the possibility that reported reserves could decrease rather than increase (growth).

### Reference Cited


### For Further Information

Supporting geologic studies of total petroleum systems and assessment units, and reports on the methodology used in this assessment of the world outside the United States, as well as the assessment results, are available at the USGS Energy website: http://energy.usgs.gov/.
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