

1999 State TRI Program Assessment Data Report

Assessment Completed by

49 states

1. Which of the following do you provide to the public? (Please check all that apply)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Copies of Form Rs	45	92
b. EPA TRI documents	34	69
c. Copies of the EPA state diskette	16	33
d. TRI public reading room	17	35
e. Data runs/analysis (you conduct analysis or create TRI documents upon request)	29	59
f. Annual state TRI report	23	47
g. TRI-related World Wide Web page	21	43
h. Reports including "total waste generated" based on section 8 data	11	22
i. Bulletin Board, telephone	3	6
j. Other state-produced TRI documents	8	16

Notes:

f. Annual state TRI report

DE Toxics Release Inventory Report – 1998 data summary; **LA** annual TRI Report; **MI** MI Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Staff Analysis, MI Toxic Chemical Release Inventory summary Report; **MN** 1998 Right-To-Know Chemical Information report; **NJ** (d) upon request, (f) The Community Right to Know Annual Report for Survey Years 19xx; **NY** State Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) Report; **OK** First one will be in May 2000; **OR** Toxic Release Inventory Report; **VA** 1997 & 1998 VA TRI Summary Report

b. TRI-related World Wide Web page addresses

CA – <http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/adtri01.htm>

DE – www2.state.de.us/LCserc.epcra.htm

FL – dca.state.fl.us/cps/SERC/serc.htm

IN – www.state.in.us./idem/oppta/tri

LA – www.deq.state.la.us/evaluation/TRI

MA – www.state.ma.us/DEP/BWP/DHM/TURA

MD – EPA envirodata

MI – www.deq.state.mi.us/ead/sara

NJ – www.state.nj.us/dep

NY – www.dec.state.ny.us

OH – epa.state.oh.us/DAPC/TRI

UT – www.deq.state.ut.us/eqerr/SERC/trihome.htm

WA – www.wa.gov/ecology/hwtri/epcra

h. Reports including total waste generated based on section 8 data

NJ – Upon request

Bulletin Board number

NY – 518-457-2553

WA – 1-800-633-7585

j. CO Analysis of TRI trends for target chemicals, **IN** TRI Compliance workshop manual **MA** Annual TURA info release, annual “canned” reports, reporting and planning guide; **MN** Include information on pollution prevention and TRI in annual right-To-Know Report - **OK** Press release, **RI** Other info to the public as requested; **SC** State Util Form R facsimiles, risk screening environmental indicator data analysis, both with software provided by EPA; **TX** fact sheet, long-term and short term trends; **WA** TRIDS program

2. For which years have or will your state produce an annual TRI report?

<u>Years</u>	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
1993	19	39
1994	20	41
1995	20	41
1996	21	43
1997	20	41
1998	20	41
1998	20	41
1999	1	2
No response (NR) or not applicable (NA)	22	47

Notes: **MA** All MA department TRI reports combined with TURA reports information; **MT** Only uses EPA generated information; **NJ** 1995-1996 combined under development; **WV** Produced by NICS not state.

Do you charge the public for your TRI-related services?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	9	18
No	37	76
NR/NA	4	8

AZ no unless for commercial use; **HI** copying; **IA** copies of form R when requested; **ME** for photocopies; **MO** no, except printing costs, \$.20 per page; **MS** copying fee; **NE** photocopies are 10 cents per page; **SD** photo copies over 10 pages

4. How has your state used TRI information? (Check as many as apply)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. GIS or other mapping activities	23	47
b. Environmental equity/justice projects	9	28
c. Identifying facilities for pollution prevention activities	35	71

d. Emergency prevention planning	21	43
e. Risk screening or risk analysis	19	39
f. Inspection targeting for permit compliance	16	33
g. Developing/revising permit limits	7	14
h. Facility siting/permitting decisions	8	16
i. National Environmental Performance Partnership Systems	4	8
j. Integrating the TRI with another database(s) (If yes, what other databases?)	21	43
k. Other NA/NR	2	2

j. **AZ** Access; **CO** Tier II, Haz wastes (BRS); **DE** Part of department- wide data integration effort; **IN** Brownfields, LUST, UST, Spills, ELF, VRP, superfund, state, cleanup; **MA** Over state PMF system; **MN** pollution prevention; **OR** TRI is part of the community right-to know-data base which includes sections 301, 303, 311, 312 and hazardous substance incident reporting; **NJ** CRTK; **TX** emissions inventory; **UT** Air toxics, RCRIS; **WA** Tier II; **WV** Tier II; **VA** In process with other SARA Title III program reporting, **WI** ITRS

k. **IN** Identify priority pollutants for the state; **MI** Facility profiler – EPA one-stop reporting, Community Environmental Awareness Project, www.deq.state.mi.us/ead/ceap, KIOSK System; **MT** This is historical information, - not used to any great extent; **NE** TRI data integrated with Tier II, Section 311&312, Reports. Inventories; **NJ** incorporating into an on-line “multi media report” for access by Dep. staff; environmental indicators report; **NV** Not routinely used; **RI** Comparison to yearly air pollution inventory

5. In your administration of the TRI program, what are your top three priorities? (e.g., enforcement, outreach to the public, training for industry)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Outreach	32	65
Enforcement, Compliance	11	22
Training	17	6
Pollution prevention	3	6
Public access info. and Communication	10	20
Technical assistance	1	2
Education	2	4
Emergency Planning	2	4
Process data quickly, maintaining reports	8	16
Fee collection	0	0
NR/NA	6	12

Additional top three priorities listed by a state: **AK** No priorities since the program as a whole is not given a high priority; **HI** maintaining local availability of data; **MO** trends analysis

6. Please rank in order of frequency which groups contact you for TRI data?

	<u># of states include in response</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>	<u># states rank 1 or 2</u>	<u>% of states ranking 1 or 2</u>
a. Media	17	35	17	35
b. Industry	14	29	13	27
c. State govnt. organizations	8	16	14	29
d. Local govnt. organizations	3	6	4	8
e. Public interest groups	14	29	16	33
f. Academics	5	10	4	8
g. Citizens	9	18	11	22
h. Others*	6	12	5	10

Others – **AK** Very infrequent – one time or less per year; **CO** consultants; **DE** consulting firms; **IA** EPA to confirm what forms we have; **IL** consultants; **LA** consultants, attorneys; **MS** legal more than others; **NE** legislative staff; **NM** environmental audit firms; **SC** agency colleagues; **VT** consultants (not too many requests)

7. What means of accessing the TRI data do you use? Check “often, sometimes, or never”

	<u>Often</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>NA/NR</u>
a. EPA’s TRI System (TRIS)	2	22	17	6
b. National Library of Medicine’s TOXNET	0	10	29	8
c. EPA’s state diskettes	6	16	15	8
d. EPA’s CD-ROM	2	21	14	9
e. State TRI database	3	6	8	6
f. RTK-NET	3	28	11	5
g. Envirofacts	10	23	7	7
h. EPA’s annual public data release	12	24	5	6
i. Other	2	4	2	37

Notes: **DE** (c)for comparison to state data; (d) EPA CD-ROM – for national data searches; **IA** limited funds or personnel to devote to the program; **IN** (i) State TRI web page; **ME** paper copies; **SC** (i)Risk screening environmental indicator – often

8. If you use the TRIS Envirofacts (Internet) database, what functions do you use?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Standard Query	32	65
b. Customized Queries	18	37
c. Mapping	8	16
d. Other	0	0
NR/NA	14	29

9. If you do not use the TRIS Envirofacts (Internet) database, why don't you use it?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Don't know where to find it	2	4
b. Don't know how to use the queries	1	2
c. Don't have Internet access	1	2
d. Other	15	31
NR/NA	31	65

Notes: **AK** No need; **AR** Takes too long; **CA** Have state database; **ID** Just haven't; **HI** no one has requested it; **KY** It is not easily utilized; **LA** I do access Envirofacts; **MA** Time and staff constraints; **ME** for me, paper copies are faster; **MT** In most cases it is not complete enough to serve my needs; **NC** No need; **NM** Don't have time; **TX (d)** Use the Texas database downloaded from EPA; **WY** Don't have a need to use it;

10. Do you use EPA's TRI World Wide Web page? (Address: <http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/tri>)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	37	76
No	10	20
NR/NA	2	6

Notes: **AK** casual browsing

11. Do you access the Internet home page for automated reporting software?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	20	41
No	26	53
NR/NA	3	6

Notes: **KY** used once; **SD** Did not need to because I already had a copy but I could do so.

12. If yes, which software version does your state office download?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
DOS	2	2
Windows 3.1x	0	0
Windows 95/NT	27	55
NR/NA	21	43

13. What additional information or improvements of existing information would be useful on the EPA TRI Web page?

AZ Listing of facilities on Indian land; **NJ** No suggestions; I find it very useful and informative and often refer callers to it; **NC** Update state contacts on more frequent basis; **OH** Info on US EPA HQ TRI staff; Table of organization and link to info on new office; **SC** Contacts; Specify what form of submissions the state accepts on state contact page, long over due; **UT** Headquarters staff; **WI** Up to date data

14. I rely on US EPA's public data release data analysis:

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Heavily	16	33
A little bit	24	49
Not at all	9	18
NR/NA	0	0

Note: VA – Not to get result but to ensure uniformity and to find out the status of other states

15. To evaluate mechanisms to communicate with the states, indicate if you have access to the following:

15 a. Internet.

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	44	90
No	2	4
NR/NA	3	6

15 b. U.S. EPA's bulletin boards through a modem.

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	18	37
No	21	43
Don't Know	3	6
NR/NA	7	14

Notes: IA unsure; SC but don't need it

16. In what form do you accept Form R submissions?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Hardcopy Only	12	24
Magnetic Media Only	2	4
Magnetic media & hardcopy	37	76

Note: Several states gave more than one answer.

17. If your state accepts electronic TRI submissions on diskettes, please answer the following questions:

17a. Do you use the UTIL software for creating a master database of submissions received on diskette?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	14	29
No	22	45
NR/NA	13	27

Notes: -AZ – No, but plan on doing so; MD – We use it to connect diskette submission to hard copy;

17b. At what point in the TRI reporting cycle does your state need the UTIL software?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
State responded	22	45
NR/NA	27	55

Notes: **AZ** As early as available – NLT – July 1; **CO** We don't; **DE** sometime in June; **GA** Toward the end, i.e., late July or Aug.; **IN** Before forms start arriving – July 1; **KS** To generate hard copies of form – if all facilities used software, we would probably create a database; **LA** Not until Nov. 1, however, we are undergoing a major change in our electronic submission agency wide; **MI** UTIL software is needed by February 1; **MN** June 1; **NV** ASAP; **MS** very early January or February; **NJ** By the time submissions start coming in; end of April each year; **NY** Data entry and data checking; **OH** May, same time company receives reporting package; **OK** Before July 1; **OR** as soon as it is available; **SC** May 1st; **VA** at the submission level; **TX** To print facility submissions; **WA** As soon as available – prior to deadline; **WI** When uploading data (August); **WV** Before July 1; **WY** Does not

17c. Are there any enhancements that would increase the UTIL's usefulness in your TRI operations?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states</u>
States responded	18	37
NR/NA	31	63

Notes: **AZ** Haven't used it enough to satisfactorily answer; **CO** No; **GA** Yes, should include a counter so that as each disk is read you can verify that it had been added to the database; **IN** Incorporate UTIL into ATRS as an export function; **MI** Would like to display street address during data upload; **NJ** Capability to add/delete single submission; windows version on master database of magMedia screen indicate Form R and Form A; **NY** More flexibility in terms of input - output, manual data entry to create database from hardcopy reports; **OH** No; **OK** Put facility name and address in master 2 and master 3 databases; **OR** ability to see report without printing, Access format. **SC** Add edit functions' search and query functions, ability to load Mag Media revisions; **TX** Need to be able to view a submission without having to print; **VA** Yes, don't split the database into three separate databases – too many of the same field – not efficient; **WA** Windows based, not DOS, ability to revise form, **WI** Yes, it should be a window program, not a DOS program and should allow customization of output. **WV** Develop windows-based program, be able to view reports without printing, be able to generate reports (customized); **WY** - unknown

17d. Would you like to be able to download UTIL from the Internet?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	19	39
No	5	10
Not Tried	0	0
NR/NA	25	51

Notes: **IN** Already have

17e. What assistance can EPA provide you, concerning your state's user of the UTIL software?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states</u>
States responded	15	31
NR/NA	34	69

Notes: **AZ** On line help; **CO** None; **IA** Not aware of this software or its capabilities; **IN** Make it handle over 1000 facilities or about 5000 reports; **MI** Notification of customer service (i.e. changes, documentation); **MS** provide it early, easy to use, easy to query/manipulate; compatible to import/export with FoxPro and cameo; **NJ** any other useful applications of UTIL beyond being a warehouse for a single year's TRI submission; **OH** Have a technical contact identified for questions; **SC** assistance has been adequate; **VA** If UTIL reads fed ID# without dashes in between, why do the consolidated reports from EPA on top 100 have fed ID # without dashes ; it adds two steps prior to us being able to use the data for comparison; **WA** documentation of file structure – note any changes of file structure up front, **WI** Better software; **WY** none

17f. What software system, other than UTIL, does your office use to capture and store Form R/Form A information from electronic disk submissions?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
State database	16	33
Cameo	5	10
Other	3	6
NR/NA	25	51

Other: **MO** - Data DX; **MS** - FoxPro; **OR** - Access; **SD** - Visual FoxPro

17g. What assistance might EPA provide to increase the compatibility of your software system with the AFT/ATRS software?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states</u>
States responded	10	20
NR/NA	39	80

Notes: **AZ** none; **GA** Don't make changes to the dBase files exported by UTIL unless absolutely necessary; **IA** We have Cameo, but we have not used it to capture this data; **IN** Our state has begun building an Oracle platform for cross agency use (See #4) – it would be great to incorporate ATRS and UTIL code into our system!; **MI** Notices of UTIL disk program changes; **MS** make it compatible with Cameo and FoxPro; **OH** Have a technical contact we can call for ongoing questions; **UT** Annual summary of database structure changes; **VA** program the AFT/ATRS mgt. more efficiently. We have to covert database to Microsoft Access; **WA** make it is same format as UTIL

18. If you accept hardcopy reports only, do you plan to accept magnetic media reports in the future?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	10	20
No	5	10
NR/NA	34	34

If no, what are the barriers to acceptance of magnetic media reports?

Notes: CT availability of software to create state database; **KY** not in immediate future; **MD** Note: We are working on internal based submissions; we have the form almost ready but it needs work; also issue of signatures; **MS** The obvious solution is cameo compatibility as USEPA is pushing both. Somehow this escapes them; **NM** time; **OR** We accept both – hardcopy and electronic; **RI** only 75 facility report in; does not justify the expense.

19. If offered, would you be interested in participating in a conference call with EPA technical staff to explore approaches for transferring electronic data from diskette to your state database?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	25	51
No	16	33
NR/NA	8	16

Notes: MN yes, including out computer staff; **KY** not at present set up; **TN** no not yet; **VA** yes definitely

Computerized TRI

20. Do you have a state TRI database? (If no, skip to question 22)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	29	59
No	17	35
NR/NA	3	6

Note: CO – yes facility info and list of Form R/A chemicals (see #25)

21. How do you enter TRI data into your state TRI database?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Enter own data	26	53
b. Download EPA data from TRIS	2	4
c. Enter EPA data from EPA's state diskettes	3	6
d. Access EPA database but do not maintain	0	0
e Other (explain)	8	16
NR/NA	20	41

Notes: **DE** Use UTIL program to create a master database then convert this into Access format; **IN** (a) Enter own data info ATRS, then UTIL, then Paradox, then upload to Oracle or out web team; **MD** due to staff limitation, we have been unable to complete the data entry for the past two reporting cycles; **MI** (b) Download EPA data from TRIS – comparison; **MO** Do data entry as received enough to do QA check with EPA submission. Then rely on EPA state diskettes supplied the following year; **NJ** use state TRI datadisk from EPA and second update as necessary; **OR** Enter own data in combination with below – (e) download disks and import into state's access database and manually data enter hardcopies into state's access database. **WA** enter/load data into UTIL, load to our database from UTIL; **VA** download ATRs data from facilities to state own Microsoft Access formatted database

22. Do you use the TRI reporting software (Automate Form R (AFR) or Automated TRI Reporting Software (ATRS)?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	24	49
No	22	45
NR/NA	3	6

If yes, at what point in the TRI reporting cycle do you need the upcoming version of the AFT/ATRS software? (Not all states answering yes explained).

Notes: **AZ** – as early as available – NLT July 1; **CA** May 1st; **CO** When reports come in 7/1/00; **FL** when reports come in (May or June); **IL** as early as possible; **IN** March; **LA** Before facilities receive it; **MD** as soon as we start to receive hard copy; **MI** To read facility submissions; **MN** – June 1; **NJ** as soon if not prior to submission for Form R/A from industry; **ND** In time for the new reports each year; **NV** ASAP; **OH** same time as industry or before; **OK** about May; **OR** When available; **SD** when the reports start coming in, I only use it to read discs. **UT** by July 1; **VA** By March of the submittal year to that we can provide them to needed facilities; **WA** as soon as industry gets it; **WI** now

23. If you download TRI data, you need to be aware that EPA’s TRIS data structures have been changed to compliant with Federal Y2K (Year 2000) standards. That means that all TRIS “year” data fields have been expanded to contain 4 digits.

23a. Have your state systems been similarly modified?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	23	47
No	0	0
Don’t Know	14	29
NR/NA	12	24

23b. Do you anticipate any problems loading TRIS data into your systems as a result of this structure change?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	1	2
No	20	41
Don’t Know	7	14
NR/NA	21	43

Notes: **IA** no state database; **MI** need to know whether FY 1998 “year” data will be four digits;

24. Do you include all data elements from the Form R in your state database? (If yes, then go to question 26)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	12	24
No	19	39
NR/NA	18	37

25. Please check which Form R fields you enter into your database:

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Facility name and address	21	43
b. Number of forms sent in by the facility	13	27
c. Names of the chemicals	19	39
d. Release quantities	19	39
e. Transfer quantities	17	35
f. Pollution prevention data	11	22
g. Off-site locations	9	18
h. Other (please list):	5	10
NR/NA	25	51

Notes: CA (c) CAS # only, (g) state and zip); CO (h) facility contact; DE (e) transfer quantities – totals only, i.e. all transfers for recycling are totaled for each report, (g) RCRA; HI – (h) TRI ID; IN (b) not a form for R field that I am aware of; we get this from our contacts table; (h) All of sec. 5 and section 8 figures, contact info, production ratio and SIC codes; NC (h) none; OH (h) everything except section 7A; OR (h) all fields; WY (h) none –no dBase

26. How soon following the reporting deadline is computerized state TRI information available? _____ (months)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
1 month	1	2
1-2 months	2	4
2-3 months	9	18
4-5 months	5	10
6-7 months	3	6
7 months	1	2
6-9 months	1	2
8-9 months	1	2
3-4 months	0	0
3-6 months	3	6
7-8 months	1	2
6-12 months	1	2
7-10 months	1	2
Wait for EPA (PR)	0	0
NR/NA	21	43

27. Please indicate the years for which your TRI data is available on your state's database.

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
1987	18	37
1988	23	47
1989	23	47
1990	23	47
1991	26	53
1992	27	55
1993	27	55
1994	27	55

1995	29	59
1996	31	63
1997	31	63
1998	31	63
NR/NA	17	35

Notes: **OK** – call in separate databases, **RI** – 1998 –excel spreadsheet

28. Can the public gain on-line access to your state TRI computer database?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	5	10
No	27	15
NR/NA	17	35

Notes: **DE** Yes – a read only version that is placed on the internet and is periodically updated; not all data fields are available on-line

29. Do you update your state database to include Form R revisions submitted by facilities?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	27	55
No	3	6
NR/NA	19	39

MD upon receipt; **VA** up to the point of report publication

30. If you answered “yes” to question 29, how often do you enter the revisions?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Monthly	7	14
Quarterly	2	4
Yearly	2	4
Weekly	0	0
Upon request	12	24
Upon receipt	3	6
Varies	2	6
NR/NA	22	45

Notes: **IN** as needed, **OH** upon receipt of revision

31. Do you compare your state’s EPCRA section 313/TRI data with EPA's TRI data to make sure you both have the same information?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	35	71
No	3	6
NR/NA	12	24

Notes: **KY** minimally; **MN** Upon request from EPA; **NJ** No – only in limited cases, e.g. large quantities for QA; **VA** definitely

State Compliance and Enforcement Questions

32. What community outreach programs do you conduct to explain EPCRA section 313/TRI reporting requirements to industry? (Check all that apply)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. Training sessions	24	49
b. Site visits	9	18
c. Distribute materials	27	55
d. Technical assistance	30	61
e. Hotline	8	16
NR/NA	11	22

Notes: **IA** unsure; **NV** (c) distribute materials for 1998 report year due to inclusion of mining industry; **RI** (c) and (d) distribute materials and technical assistance as needed; **SC** TA programs are not community outreach; **TN** (a) training sessions put on by U. of TN center, Nashville

33. Does your state have EPCRA section 313 enforcement authority under state law?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	9	18
No	39	80
Unknown	1	2

Note: **WI** - unknown

34. Do you conduct inspections of manufacturing facilities to determine compliance with EPCRA section 313 reporting requirements?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	4	8
No	38	78
NR/NA	7	14

Notes: **IA** Lack resources for this; **KS** Have MOA with EPA. EPA does 313 inspections, KDHE does 311/312 inspections; **KY** No – performed by EPA Region V; **MN** yes but only with EPA

35. How many inspections does your state plan to conduct during 2000?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
No (0) inspections	5	10
1 inspection	0	0
6 inspections w/ EPA (MN)	1	2
36 inspections (OH) 1999	1	2
100 (MA)	1	2
NR/NA	41	84

Notes: **DE** – As the need arises, none planned;

36. What percentages of inspected facilities were found to be in noncompliance or in less than full compliance during this period? _____%

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
0% compliant (LA)	1	2
10% compliant (MA)	1	2
6% compliant (OH)	1	2
NR/NA	46	94

37. In enforcement actions, do you use:

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. State TRI data	2	4
b. Federal TRI data	1	2
c. Both	2	4
NR/NA	44	84

38. How many EPCRA 313 enforcement cases have you issued in the past year, if any?

<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
No (0) cases	24
1 case DE (turned 1 over to EPA)	1
10 cases (IL) (OH)	2
12 cases (MA)	1
NR/NA	33

Program Questions

39. How many people are currently working in the state TRI program?

(Please put your response in full-time equivalents or FTEs.) _____

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
None	4	8
<1FTE	19	39
1.0 FTE	11	22
1.1 FTEs to 2 FTEs	5	10
>2 FTEs	4	8
NR/NA	6	12

Notes : IA – for filing purposes

40. What is the approximate total funding amount for your state's EPCRA section 313/TRI program? (This includes salaries, grants, contract moneys, etc.)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
\$0	10	20
\$ 1,000 - \$50,000	22	45
\$50,001 - \$100,000	4	8
\$100,001 - \$150,000	3	6
\$150,001 - \$200,000	2	4
over \$200,001	1	2
NR/NA	7	14

Notes : WV No state money for state program, but NICS receives grant money to manage data and produce report – private money, WV office of air quality grant - \$1-50,000

41. What sources of revenue support your TRI program?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
General funds	19	39
Grants	6	12
Fees	15	31
Other	4	8
None	4	8
NR/NA	1	2

Notes: MA – fee per company determined by FTE and number of chemicals reported.

42. Although we all would like more funding, do you think that the current level of financial support for your TRI program is adequate to meet the general goal of Community Right-to-Know?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	21	41
No	24	49
NR/NA	4	8

Notes: MS there is no funding!; OK fees on Tier II reporting under EPCRA

43. In addition to the federal EPCRA section 313/TRI reporting criteria, what other criterion does your state mandate? (Check all that apply)

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
a. None	35	71
b. Additional chemicals	1	2
c. Additional SIC codes	2	4
d. Smaller or no chemical reporting threshold ¹	2	4
e. Lower or no minimum employee threshold ⁰	0	0
f. Peak release information	0	0
g. Throughput (mass balance information)	2	4
h. Toxic use reduction/P2 plans or goals	8	16
i. Additional reporting elements (please describe)	2	4
NR/NA	2	4

Additional reporting elements (h) MS TRI filers and hazardous waste generators are required to have pollution prevention plans; NV fees to SERC; NJ production data that supplements and enhances production ration and pollution prevention progress data; RI state has yearly inventory of all chemicals used at the facility with threshold of more than 100 pounds; VA other: mandatory annual report publication by March of each year; VT (h) planning requirement affects many more facilities than those required to file form R; WA state worker and community right-to-know fund; WI public waste treatment facilities must report

Notes: The inquiry about additional reporting elements appeared on top of p. 6 and may not have been recognized as part of question 43.

*Are only facilities that file TRI Form Rs required to submit toxic use reduction/pollution prevention plans? (In other words, no other facilities in your state have to send in pollution prevention/toxic use reduction plans except the facilities covered under EPCRA section 313?) MA no, other facilities must file; ME No; MN yes; MS Hazardous waste generators are required to submit plans, also; NJ yes - true;

44. Does your state have requirements, which will mandate facilities to continue to report information, which would have been exempt under the alternative threshold/Form A?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	3	6
No	44	90
NR/NA	2	4

If yes, please explain: AZ - potentially, depending on waste generated; RI - Facilities report chemical use of all VOCs under RI recording keeping and reporting rule 100 pound threshold

45. Are your state's Form R filers required to pay a fee?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	12	24
No	35	71
NR/NA	2	4

46. If yes, briefly describe your fee program.

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states</u>
States responding	13	27
NR/NA	36	73

Notes: AR \$150.00 fee for the first chemical and \$24.00 for each additional chemical with a total of \$400.00 for each facility; CO Form R/A filers pay \$10/facility to \$23/chemical up to \$1000/year cap for a company. Fees collected used to fund pollution prevention grants program; FL \$150 form R report, \$75 form A chemical; GA Based on numbers emitted, cap at \$1000/facility (fees are not used to support TRI program); KS Table based on total release quantities reported on Form R; MA determined by the number of FTEs and number of chemicals filed, low of \$2,850 and max of \$31,450; ME Sliding scale based on amount of release or transferred chemical; MN see attached fact sheet; MS Fees are based on the amounts released with credit given for recycling activities, ND One fee system applies to tier II reporting (system

312) only; **NV** \$500/form (maximum of \$5,000 per facility per year), paid to the state SERC with the form(s); **OH** \$50 + (\$15 per form R), No charge for Form A; **SD** There is a fee for each form R or A submitted. The fees range from \$250 to \$3000 per forms depending on releases. \$3000 facility cap; **TX** \$25 for each Form R submitted, with a cap of \$250.

47. Please list any revenue mechanisms you are considering pursuing to support your TRI state program.

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
None	12	24
Legislation	0	0
Filing fees	1	2
Grants	2	4
NR/NA	34	69

Notes: **IL** none at present; **IN** Form R submission fee; **LA** grant; **MD** none at this time; **MS** federal funding

48. Do you feel that you are kept current EPA, i.e., do you receive information on a timely basis when changes are made to the reporting requirements under TRI?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
Yes	46	94
No	0	0
NR/NA	3	6

If no, please offer suggestions to improve communications.

Notes: **AZ** Outstanding both from HQ and Region – Kudos!; **ID** In addition to e-mail, internet, etc., hard copy would be nice; **OH** All TRI related mailings to facilities should be sent to the state contact (i.e. OECA RCRA, mailing); **WY** Region VIII is very good about keeping us updated.

49. What kind of PC work station does your office use?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
IBM Compatible	46	94
Macintosh	0	0
Other	1	2
NR/NA	3	6

Notes: **AR** –Office 97; **VA** – other – NT

50. What type of computer processor does your office use?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
386 or below	0	0
486 & Pentium	1	2
486, Pentium & NetWpc	1	2
Pentium	24	49
Network PC	18	37
Pentium & Network PC	3	6
NE 50/Net PC	1	2
NR/NA	8	16

51. Which operating system does your office computer have?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
DOS	3	6
Windows 3.1x	1	2
Windows 95	29	59
Windows 98	6	12
Windows NT 3.5	5	10
Windows NT4.0	13	27
Win 3.1 & Win. 95	0	0
NR/NA	1	2

Note: Several states had more than one answer.

52. To which network is your PC connected?

	<u># of states</u>	<u>% of states responding</u>
None	0	0
Microsoft	18	37
Novell	23	49
IBM	1	2
Other (Wang)	1	2
Don't know	1	2
MS/IBM	1	2
MS/Novell	1	2
MS/Banyan	1	2
NR/NA	1	2

Other: AL Wang; DE Banyan Vines, MS unknown

General Notes:

NC This state receives and files reports, no further analysis, outreach, or enforcement is conducted

(No response: Pennsylvania, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands)