

Appendix I. Bibliography

- Alpert, P., F.T. Griggs, D.R. Peterson. 1999. Riparian forest restoration along large rivers: initial results from the Sacramento River project. *Restoration Ecology* 7(4):360–368.
- Arroues, K.D. 1982. Soils and plants: a way to read the landscape. *Fremontia* 9(4):22–44.
- Audubon. 2002. Cooling the hotspots: protecting America's birds, wildlife and natural heritage from invasive species. Audubon website: <http://www.stopinvasives.org>.
- Ayers Associates. 1997. Preliminary Final Report: Sacramento River Bank Protection Project (SRBPP), Sacramento River and Tributaries. Ayers Associates, Fort Collins, CO. 56pp + 48 Map Plates.
- Ayers Associates. 2001a. Hydraulic analysis of riparian habitat conservation on the Sacramento River from Princeton to Beehive Bend – hydraulic modeling of the Sacramento River from River Miles 163 to River Miles 176, Glenn and Colusa counties, California. Prepared for the Nature Conservancy, Chico, CA.
- Ayers Associates. 2001b. Hydraulic modeling of proposed restoration activities in the Butte Basin Reach of the Sacramento River, River Miles 174 to River Miles 194, Glenn and Butte counties, California. Prepared for the Nature Conservancy, Chico, CA.
- Ayers Associates. 2002. Two-dimensional hydraulic modeling of the upper Sacramento River, River Miles 194.0 to River Miles 202.0, Glenn and Butte counties, California. Prepared for the Nature Conservancy, Chico, CA.
- Barr, C.B. 1991. The Distribution, Habitat, and Status of the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle *Desmocerus Californicus Dimorphus*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, CA. 134pp.
- Bay Institute. 1998. From the Sierra to the Sea: the ecological history of the San Francisco Bay-Delta Watershed. The Bay Institute, San Francisco. 240 pp.
- Boyle, S. A. and F. B. Samson. 1985. Effects of non-consumptive recreation on wildlife: a review. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 13:110-116.
- Brice, J. 1977. Lateral Migration of the Sacramento River, California. U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations 77-43. U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, CA. 49pp.

- Begg, E.L.1968. Soil Survey of Glenn County, California. U.S. Department of Agriculture in cooperation with the University of California Agriculture Experiment Station. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC. pp + Map.
- Buckley, P. A. and F. G. Buckley. 1976. Guidelines for protection and management of colonially nesting waterbirds. North Atlantic Regional Office, National Park Service, Boston, MA. 52pp.
- Buer, K., D. Forwalter, M. Kissel, B. Stohler. 1989. The middle Sacramento River: human impacts on physical and ecological processes along a meandering river. Pages 22–32 In: D.L. Abell (editor). Proceedings of the California Riparian Systems Conference: Protection, Management, and Restoration for the 1990s. U.S. Department of Agriculture General Technical Report PSW-110. 544pp.
- Bureau of Soils. 1913. Soil Map – California. Reconnaissance Survey – Sacramento Valley Sheet, Scale 1:250,000. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Soils, Washington, DC and University of California, Agriculture Experiment Station, Berkeley.
- Burger, J. 1981. The effect of human activity on birds at a coastal bay. *Biol. Cons.* 21:231-241.
- Burger, J. and M. Gochfeld. 1991. Human distance and birds: tolerance and response distances of resident and migrant species in India. *Environ. Conserv.* 18:158-165.
- Burkett, D., et al. In prep. Soil Survey of Butte County, California. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Chico Soil Survey. With Map, Scale 1:24,000.
- Butte County Planning Department. 1991. Land Use Element of the Butte County General Plan.
- California Department of Conservation. 1998. Important Farmland Maps.
- California Department of Finance. 2001. Economic research website. <http://www.dof.ca.gov>.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 2004a. Comprehensive Management Plan for the Sacramento River Wildlife Area.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 2004b. Letter to Project Leader, Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex.

- California Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR), 1998. Public Opinions and Attitudes on Outdoor Recreation in California 1997. Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Water Resources, Northern District. 1980. Upper Sacramento River Spawning Gravel Study. With river atlas. Red Bluff, CA. 200pp.
- California Department of Water Resources, Northern District. 1984. Middle Sacramento River Spawning Gravel Study. With River Atlas. Red Bluff, CA. 194pp.
- California Department of Water Resources, 1982. Sacramento River Recreation Survey 1980. The California Department of Water Resources – Northern District. Red Bluff, CA
- California Department of Water Resources. 1994. Sacramento River Erosion Investigation. Department of Water Resources Memorandum Progress Report, Sacramento. 170pp.
- California Division of Mines and Geology. 1977. Geologic Map of California, Scale 1:750,000. California Division of Mines and Geology, Sacramento.
- California Employment Development Department. 2000. Labor Market Information website. www.calmis.cahwnet.gov/file/demoine/inc2000co.htm.
- Central Valley Habitat Joint Venture. 1990. Central Valley Habitat Joint Venture Implementation Plan: A Component of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. 102pp.
- Clausen, J., D.D. Keck, W.M. Hiesey. 1948. Experimental studies on the nature of species, III: environmental responses of climatic races of *Achillea*. Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication 581:1–129.
- Cole, D. N. and P. B. Landres. 1995. Indirect effects of recreation on wildlife. Pages 183-201 in R. L. Knight and K. J. Gutzwiller, ed. *Wildlife and Recreationists: coexistence through management and research*. Island Press, Washington, D. C. 372pp.
- Cole, D. N. and J. L. Marion. 1988. Recreation impacts in some riparian forests of the eastern United States. *Env. Manage.* 12:99-107.
- Collaborative Economics for New Valley Connexions. 2001. *The Economic Future of the Sacramento Valley*. Prepared for the Great Valley Center. 75 pp.

- Colusa Basin Drainage District and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. May 2000. Integrated Resources Management Program for Flood Control in the Colusa Basin. Draft Programmatic EIS/EIR Draft Program Financing Plan. Volume 1. Prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. State Clearinghouse Number 1998012053.
- Colusa County. 1989. Colusa County General Plan. January 20, 1989.
- Conrad, S.G., R.L. MacDonald, and R.F. Holland. 1977. Riparian vegetation and flora of the Sacramento Valley. Pages 47–55 In: A. Sands (editor), *Riparian Forests of California: Their Ecology and Conservation*. Institute of Ecology Publication No. 15, University of California, Davis. 122pp.
- Cordell, H. K., et al, 1999. *Outdoor Recreation in American Life: A National Assessment of Demand and Supply Trends*. Sagamore, Inc. Champaign, IL.
- Croot, C. and L. Marcolis (editors). 1991. *Pacific Salmon Life Histories*. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver. 564pp.
- Dahl, Thomas E. 1990. *Wetland losses in the United States 1780's to 1980's*. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C. 13pp.
- EDAW 2002. *Sacramento River Public Recreation Access Study – Red Bluff to Colusa*. Report prepared for The Nature Conservancy and CALFED. Prepared by EDAW, 2022 J Street, Sacramento, California. January 2003.
- Efseaff, D.S., J.G. Silveira, F.T. Griggs, and F.L. Thomas. 2003. Incorporating native grass planting into riparian restoration on the Sacramento River. Pages 315–322 PM Faber (editor), *California Riparian Systems: Processes and Floodplain Management, Ecology, and Restoration*. 2001 Riparian Habitat and Floodplains Conference Proceedings, Riparian Habitat Joint Venture, Sacramento, CA.
- Gaines, D. 1974. A new look at the nesting riparian avifauna of the Sacramento Valley, California. *Western Birds* 5(3):61–79.
- Gaines, D.F. 1977. The valley riparian forests of California: their importance to bird populations. Pages 57–85 In: A. Sands (editor), *Riparian Forests of California: Their Ecology and Conservation*. Institute of Ecology Publication No. 15, University of California, Davis. 122pp.
- Glinski, R. L. 1976. Birdwatching etiquette: the need for a developing philosophy. *Am. Bird* 30(3):655-657.

- Goldschmidt, W. 1978. Nomlaki. Pages 341–349 In: R.F. Heizer (editor). Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8: California. Smithsonian Institute, Washington DC.
- Golet GH, DL Brown, EE Crone, GR Geupel, SE Greco, KD Holl, DE Jukkola, GM Kondolf, EW Larsen, FK Ligon, RA Luster, MP Marchetti, N Nur, BK Orr, DR Peterson, ME Power, WE Rainey, MD Roberts, JG Silveira, SL Small, JC Vick, DS Wilson, & DM Wood. 2003. Using science to evaluate restoration efforts and ecosystem health on the Sacramento River Project, California. Pages 368-385 in PM Faber (editor), California Riparian Systems: Processes and Floodplain Management, Ecology, and Restoration. 2001 Riparian Habitat and Floodplains Conference Proceedings, Riparian Habitat Joint Venture, Sacramento, CA.
- Gowans, K.D. 1967. Soil Survey: Tehama County, California. U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC. pp + Map
- Griggs, F.T. 1993a. Protecting biological diversity through partnerships. Pages 235–237 In: J.E. Keeley (editor), Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California. Southern California Academy of Sciences, Los Angeles. 297pp.
- Griggs, F.T. 1993b. Restorations return moments of wildness to the banks of the Sacramento River. *Pacific Discovery* 46(1):12–20.
- Griggs, F.T., J.M. Zaninovich, and G.D. Werschull. 1992. Historic native vegetation map of the Tulare Basin, California. Pages 111–118 in D.F. Williams, S. Byrne, and T.A. Rado (Editors), Endangered and Sensitive Species of the San Joaquin Valley: Their Biology, Management, and Conservation. California Energy Commission, Sacramento, CA. 388pp.
- Griggs, F.T. and D.R. Peterson. 1997. Evaluation of techniques and costs for valley oak riparian forest restoration on the Sacramento River. Pages 289–295 In: N.H. Pillsbury, J. Verner, and W.D. Tietje (technical coordinators). Proceedings of a Symposium on Oak Woodlands: Ecology, Management, and Urban Interface Issues. USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, General Technical Report PSW–GTR–160, Berkeley, CA. 738pp.
- Grinnell, J. 1915. A distributional list of the birds of California. *Pacific Coast Avifauna* 11:1–217.
- Grinnell, J. and A.H. Miller. 1944. The distribution of birds of California. *Pacific Coast Avifauna* 27:1–608.

- Gutzwiller, K. J., R. T. Wiedenmann, K. L. Clements, and S. H. Anderson. 1994. Effects on human intrusion on song occurrence and singing consistency in subalpine birds. *Auk* 111:28-37.
- Halstead, J.A. and J.A. Oldham. 1990. Revision of the Nearctic *Desmocerus Audinet-Serville* with emphasis on the federally threatened valley elderberry longhorn beetle (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). Environmental Staff Section Report, Kings River Conservation District, Fresno, CA.
- Harwood, D.S., and E.J. Helley. 1982. Preliminary Structure Contour Map of the Sacramento Valley, California, Showing Contours of Major Structural Features and Depth to Basement. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-737, Scale 1:250,000.
- Helley, E.J. and D.S. Harwood. 1985. Geologic Map of the Late Cenozoic Deposits of the Sacramento Valley and Northern Sierran Foothills, California. U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1790, Scale 1:62,500.
- Hickman, J.C., editor. 1993. *The Jepson manual of higher plants of California*. University of California Press, Berkeley. 1400pp.
- Hickey, C., W.D. Shuford, G.W. Page, and S. Warnock. 2003. Version 1.1. *The Southern Pacific Shorebird Conservation Plan: A strategy for supporting California's Central Valley and coastal shorebird populations*. PRBO Conservation Science, Stinson Beach, CA. 137pp.
- Holland, R.F. 1986. Preliminary descriptions of terrestrial natural communities of California. Natural Heritage Division, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento. 156pp.
- Holland, R.F. and C.L. Roye. 1989. Great Valley riparian habitats and the National Registry of Natural Landmarks. Pages 69–73 In: D.L. Abell (editor). *Proceedings of the California Riparian Systems Conference: Protection, Management, and Restoration for the 1990s*. U.S. Department of Agriculture General Technical Report PSW-110. 544pp.
- Holmes, L.C., J.W. Nelson and party. 1915. *Reconnaissance Soil Survey of the Sacramento Valley*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Soils, Washington, DC. 148pp + Map.
- Huenneke, L. F. 1989. Distribution and regional patterns of California grasslands. In: Huenneke, L.F. & Mooney, H.A. (eds). *Grassland structure and function: California annual grassland*. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 1-12.

- Jackson, L.L., N. Lopoukhine, and D. Hillyard. 1995. Ecological restoration: a definition and comments. *Restoration Ecology* 3(2):71–75.
- Jennings, C.W. and R.G. Strand. 1960. Geologic Map of California, Ukiah Sheet, O.P. Jenkins Edition, Third Edition, Scale 1:250,000. California Division of Mines and Geology, Sacramento, CA.
- Jenny, H. 1941. *Factors of Soil Formation*. McGraw–Hill, New York. 269pp.
- Johnson, P. 1978. Patwin. Pages 350–360 In: R.F. Heizer (editor). *Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8: California*. Smithsonian Institute, Washington DC.
- Jones & Stokes. 2003. *Socioeconomic Assessment of Proposed Habitat Restoration with the Riparian Corridor of the Sacramento River Conservation Area*. Final. March (J&S 09-261). Prepared for The Nature Conservancy, Sacramento, CA
- Katibah, E.F. 1989. A brief history of riparian forests in the Central Valley of California. Pages 23–29 In: R.E. Warner and K.M. Hendrix (editors), *California Riparian Systems: Ecology, Conservation and Productive Management*. University of California Press, Berkeley. 1035pp.
- Keeley, J.E. 1993. Native grassland restoration: the initial stage — assessing suitable sites. Pages 277–281 In: J.E. Keeley (editor), *Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California*. Southern California Academy of Sciences, Los Angeles. 297pp.
- Klein, M. 1989. Effects of high levels of human visitation on foraging waterbirds at J. N. "Ding" Darling National Wildlife Refuge, Sanibel Florida. Masters thesis. Gainesville, Florida: University of Florida.
- Kushlan, J. T., M. J. Steinkamp, K. C. Parsons, J. Capp, M. Acosta Cruz, M. Coulter, I. Davidson, L. Dickson, N. Edelson, R. Elliot, R. M. Erwin, S. Hatch, S. Kress, R. Milko, S. Miller, K. Mills, R. Paul, R. Phillips, J. E. Saliva, B. Sydeman, J. Trapp, J. Wheeler, and K. Wohl. 2002. *Waterbird Conservation for the Americas: The North American Waterbird Conservation Plan, Version 1*. Waterbird Conservation for the Americas, Washington, DC, U.S.A, 78 pp.
- Leopold, L.B. and T. Maddock. 1953. *The Hydraulic Geometry of Stream Channels and Some Physiographic Implications*. U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey Professional Paper 252. 57pp.
- Liddle, M. J. 1975. A selective review of the ecological effects on human trampling on natural ecosystems. *Biol. Conserv.* 7:17-36.

- Longcore, T., R. Mattoni, G. Pratt, and C. Rich. 2000. On the perils of ecological restoration: lessons from the El Segundo blue butterfly. Pages 281–286 In: J.E. Keeley, M. Baer-Keeley, and C.J. Fotheringham (editors), 2nd Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California. U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 00–62, Sacramento, CA. 300pp.
- Miller, S. G., R. L. Knight, and C. K. Miller. 1998. Influence of recreational trails on breeding bird communities. *Ecol. Appl.* 8:162-169.
- Montalvo, A.M. and N.C. Ellstrand. 2000. Fitness consequences of non-local transplantation: preliminary tests of the home team advantage and outbreeding depression hypotheses. Pages 227–236 In: J.E. Keeley, M. Baer-Keeley, and C.J. Fotheringham (editors), 2nd Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California. U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 00–62, Sacramento, CA. 300pp.
- Moyle, P.B. 2002. *Inland Fishes of California*. University of California Press, Berkeley. 517pp.
- Munroe, T. and W. Jackman. 1999. *The State of the Great Central Valley of California – Assessing the Region via Indicators*. Report prepared for the Great Valley Center. 56 pages
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration–National Marine Fisheries Service. 1997. *NMFS Proposed Recovery Plan for the Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon*. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, Long Beach, California.
- O'Neil, M.P., J.C. Schmidt, J.P. Dobrowolski, C.P. Hawkins, and C.M.U. Neale. 1997. Identifying sites for riparian wetland restoration: application of a model to the Upper Arkansas River Basin. *Restoration Ecology* 5(4):85–102.
- Oswald, V.H. 2002. *Selected Plants of Northern California and Adjacent Nevada. Studies From the Herbarium No. 11*. Biological Sciences Herbarium, California State University, Chico. 451pp.
- Oswald, V.H. 2002. *Selected Plants of Northern California and Adjacent Nevada. Studies From the Herbarium No. 12*. Biological Sciences Herbarium, California State University, Chico. 2 CDs.
- Oswald, V.H. and L. Ahart. 1994. *Manual of the Vascular Plants of Butte County, California*. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento. 348pp.

- Osugi, C.T. 1989. Environmental Assessment: Proposed Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, California. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 34pp + 13 Maps & appendices.
- Peterson, D.R. 2002. The Development of An Alternative Restoration Strategy for the Sacramento River Riparian Forest. Master's Thesis, California State University, Chico. 53pp.
- Philip Williams and Associates, LTD. 2004. Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge Rio Vista Unit: Conceptual Restoration and Local Flood Reduction Plan, Prepared for The Nature Conservancy and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. January 19, 2004. Final Report
- Pickett, S.T.A., V.T. Parker and P.L. Feidler. 1992. The new paradigm in ecology: implications for conservation biology above the species level. Pages 65–88 In: P. Fielder and S. Jain (editors), Conservation Biology: The Theory and Practice of Nature Conservation, Preservation and Management. Chapman and Hall, New York. 507pp.
- QUAD Consultants 1993. Policy Plan, Volume I of the Glenn County General Plan.
- Reiner, R. and F.T. Griggs. 1989. The Nature Conservancy undertakes riparian restoration projects in California. Restoration and Management Notes 7(1):3–8.
- Reijnen, R. and R. Foppen. 1994. The effects of car traffic on breeding bird populations in woodland. I. Evidence of reduced habitat quality for willow warbler (*Pyloscopus trochilus*) breeding close to a highway. *J. Appl. Ecol* 31: 85-94.
- Rice, K.J. and E.E. Knapp. 2000. Evolutionary factors affecting the probability of local adaptations or should we expect to see ecotypes behind every rock? Pages 221–226 In: J.E. Keeley, M. Baer-Keeley, and C.J. Fotheringham (editors), 2nd Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California. U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 00–62, Sacramento, CA. 300pp.
- Rich, T.D., C.J. Beardmore, H. Berlanga, P.J. Blancher, M.S.W. Bradstreet, G.S. Butcher, D.W. Demarest, E.H. Dunn, W.C. Hunter, E.E. Iñigo-Elias, J.A. Kennedy, A.M. Martell, A.O. Panjabi, D.N. Pashley, K.V. Rosenberg, C.M. Rustay, J.S. Wendt, T.C. Will. 2004. Partners in Flight North American Landbird Conservation Plan. Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Ithaca, NY
- Riddell, F. 1978. Maidu and Konkow. Pages 370–386 In: R.F. Heizer (editor). Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8: California. Smithsonian Institute, Washington D.C.

- Riparian Habitat Joint Venture. 2004. Version 2.0. The Riparian Bird Conservation Plan: a strategy for reversing the decline of riparian associated birds in California. California Partners in Flight.
<http://www.prbo.org/calpif/pdfs/riparian.v-2.pdf>.
- River Partners. 2004. Survey of planted elderberry on Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge riparian restoration site for use by valley elderberry longhorn beetles. Report to the USFWS.
- Roberts, W.G., J.G. Howe and J. Major. 1977. A survey of riparian forest flora and fauna in California. Pages 3– 19 In: A. Sands (editor), Riparian Forests of California: Their Ecology and Conservation. Institute of Ecology Publication No. 15, University of California, Davis. 122pp.
- Robertson, K.G. 1987. Paleochannels and Recent Evolution of the Sacramento River, California. Master' Thesis, University of California Davis. 91pp.
- Saucedo, G. J. and D. C. Wagner (compilers). 1992. Geologic Map of the Chico Quadrangle, California, Scale 1:250,000. California Division of Mines and Geology, Sacramento, CA.
- Scott, L.B. and S.K. Marquiss. 1989. An historical overview of the Sacramento River. Pages 51–57 In: R.E. Warner and K.M. Hendrix (editors), California Riparian Systems: Ecology, Conservation and Productive Management. University of California Press, Berkeley. 1035pp.
- Silveira, J.G., F.T. Griggs, D.W. Burkett, K.Y. Buer, D.S. Efseaff, G.H. Golet, S.L. Small, R. Vega, and J.E. Isola. 2003. An ecological approach to restoring riparian habitats at the Llano Seco Unit, Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge. Pages 240-254 in P.M. Faber (editor), California Riparian Systems: Processes and Floodplain Management, Ecology, and Restoration. 2001 Riparian Habitat and Floodplains Conference Proceedings, Riparian Habitat Joint Venture, Sacramento, CA.
- Skinner, M.W. and B.M. Pavlik. 1994. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California. 5th edition. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento. 338pp.
- Small, S.L. 2003. Ten years on the middle Sacramento. PRBO Conservation Science: The Observer Number 134 Fall 2003: Habitat Restoration.

- Small, S.L., J. DeStaebler, G.R. Geupel, A. King. 1999. Landbird Response to Riparian Restoration on the Sacramento River System: Preliminary Results of the 1997 and 1998 Field Season. PRBO Report to The Nature Conservancy and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. PRBO, Stinson Beach, CA. 39pp.
- Small, S.L., N. Nur, A. Black, G. R. Geupel, D. Humple, and G. Ballard. 2000. Riparian Bird Populations of the Sacramento River System: Results from the 1993–1999 Field Seasons. PRBO Report to The Nature Conservancy and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. PRBO, Stinson Beach, CA. 76pp.
- Smith, L. and J. D. Hunt. 1995. Nature tourism: impacts and management. Pp. 203-219 in Knight, R. L.; Gutzwiller, K. J. (Wildlife and recreationists: coexistence through management and research, eds.). Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- Stillwater Sciences. 2003. Sacramento River ecological indicators pilot study by W. Rainey, E. Pierson and C. Corben. Report to The Nature Conservancy.
- Strand, R.G. 1962. Geologic Map of California, Redding Sheet, O.P. Jenkins Edition, Fourth Edition, Scale 1:250,000. California Division of Mines and Geology, Sacramento, CA.
- Tehama County. 1983. Tehama County General Plan.
- Thompson, K. 1961. Riparian forests of the Sacramento Valley. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 51:294–315.
- U.S. Army Corp of Engineers. 1995. Two-foot Contour Maps from Woodson Bridge to Sacramento. Prepared by Ayers Associates for U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, Sacramento, CA.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau. 2001 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Canadian Wildlife Service. 1986. North American Waterfowl Management Plan: a strategy for cooperation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington, D.C. 19pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1987. Middle Sacramento River Refuge Feasibility Study. A Report to Congress. Portland, Oregon. 47pp. plus appendices.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1989. Environmental Assessment – Proposed Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge – Colusa, Glenn, Butte, and Tehama Counties, California. March 1989. Portland, Oregon.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1998. Intra-agency Formal Section 7 Consultation on Management, Operations, and Maintenance of the Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex. Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex, Willows, California.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. Fulfilling the Promise, The National Wildlife Refuge System, Visions for Wildlife, Habitat, People, and Leadership. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington, D.C. July 1999. 94pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Impacts of Riprapping to Ecosystem Functioning, Lower Sacramento River, California. Prepared by: Sacramento Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-2605, Sacramento, California. Prepared for: Sacramento District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for the FWS's Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report and Biological Opinion, for proposed bank protection work. USFWS-Sacramento-06-29-00 Final.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Recreational Fishing in Packer Lake of the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge. Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex, Willows, California.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002a. Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge Habitat Management Plan. Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex, Willows, California.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2002b. Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Proposed Restoration Activities on the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge (Ryan, Ohm, Haleakala, Pine Creek, Kaiser, Phelan Island, Koehnen, Hartley Island, and Stone Units). Report prepared by Jones and Stokes, Sacramento, California for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Willows, California. February 2002.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2002c. National Strategy for Management of Invasive Species. Report by Fulfilling the Promise National Invasive Species Management Strategy Team, September 10, 2002.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003a. Banking on Nature 2002: The Economic Benefits to Local Communities of National Wildlife Refuge Visitation. Updated from the 1997 report prepared by Andrew Laughland, Ph.D. and James Caudill, Ph.D. Update prepared by James Caudill, Ph.D. and Erin Henderson Division of Economics U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington, D.C. September 2003.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003b. 2001 National and State Economics of Wildlife Watching. James Caudill, Ph.D. Division of Economics U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington, D.C. August 2003.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004a. Informal Intra-agency Section 7 Evaluation for the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment for the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, Butte, Glenn and Tehama counties, California (1-1-04-I-2214).
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004b. Informal Intra-agency Section 7 Evaluation for the Intergrated Pest Management Plan for Walnut Production on the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, Butte, Glenn and Tehama counties, California (1-1-04-I-1462).
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004c. Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment. July 2004.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game and California Department of Parks and Recreation. 2001. Memorandum of Understanding. 5pp.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 1948. Llano Seco Quadrangle, California, 7.5 minute series (topographic), Scale 1:24,000: Photo-revised 1969, Photo-inspected 1976. U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 1992. Reconnaissance Investigation of Water Quality, Bottom Sediment, and Biota Associated with Irrigation Drainage in the Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex, California, 1988-89. Water-Resources Investigations Report 92-4036. Sacramento, CA. 79pp.
- U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service. 2004a. Informal Intra-agency Section 7 Evaluation for the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment for the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, Butte, Glenn and Tehama counties, California.
- U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service. 2004b. Informal Intra-agency Section 7 Evaluation for the Integrated Pest Management Plan for Walnut Production on the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, Butte, Glenn and Tehama counties, California (SWR-04-SA-9119:MET).

- Warner, R.E. and K.M. Hendrix. 1985. Riparian Resources of the Central Valley and California Desert. A Report on Their Nature, History and Status with Recommendations for Their Revitalization and Management. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA. 122pp.
- Watson, E.B., T.W. Glassey, R.E. Storie, and S.W. Cosby. 1929. Soil Survey of the Chico Area, California. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Chemistry and Soils, Washington, DC. 48pp + Map.
- White, G.G. (preparer), J. Kraft, L. Harrington, D. Coleman, and R. Allen (contributors). 2003. Cultural resource overview and management plan—Sacramento River Conservation Area, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, and Colusa Counties, California. California State University, Chico, Archaeological Research Program Reports, No. 50. Prepared for The Nature Conservancy, Chico, CA. 149pp + appendices and maps.